

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd. **Website:** www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 31/10/2025

(2020) 267 DLT 267

Delhi High Court

Case No: RC.Revision No. 530 Of 2019

Indiraa Bhasin APPELLANT

Vs

Ashwini Gupta RESPONDENT

Date of Decision: Jan. 29, 2020

Acts Referred:

Delhi Rent Control Act, 1958 â€" Section 14(1)(e), 14(7)

Citation: (2020) 267 DLT 267

Hon'ble Judges: Sanjeev Sachdeva, J

Bench: Single Bench

Advocate: Shiv Charan Garg, Rajesh K. Gogna

Judgement

Sanjeev Sachdeva, J

1. Petitioner/landlord impugns the order dated 03.07.2019 where by the leave to defend application filed by the respondent/tenant has been allowed

and leave to defend the eviction petition has been granted.

2. Petitioner has filed subject eviction petition seeking eviction of the respondent from one shop on the ground floor at property bearing No. 3578-79,

Netaji Subhash Marg, New Delhi under Section 14 (1)(e) of Delhi Rent Control Act on the ground of bona fide necessity.

3. The ground of eviction stated by the petitioner inter alia is that the eldest son of the petitioner has completed his Bachelor of Business

Administration in International Business from Amity University. It is further stated that he is unemployed and wants to stand on his legs and he wants

to do a consultancy business from the said premises and he has no other commercial space in Delhi in his own name and is entirely dependent on his

mother for the purposes of accommodation.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent, under instructions from the respondent, who is present in Court in person, admits that petitioner is the owner of

the property and there is a relationship of landlord and tenant between the petitioner and the respondent. He also admits that the need of the petitioner

is bonafide and that petitioner does not have any other suitable alternative accommodation available for his residence and the residence of his

dependent family members. He further submits that Respondent has no objection to the eviction petition being allowed and eviction order being

passed. He, however, prays that time may be granted to the respondent to vacate and hand over the peaceful vacant possession of the tenanted

premises on or before 31.10.2021.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent, under instructions from the respondent, also withdraws the leave to defend application filed by the respondent

before the Rent Controller.

6. In view of the above, impugned order dated 03.07.2019 granting leave to defend is set aside. The leave to defend application filed by the

Respondent is dismissed as withdrawn.

7. In view of the admissions made by the respondent, eviction petition filed by the Petitioner is allowed and an order of eviction is passed, in favour of

the petitioner and against the respondent, in respect of one shop on the ground floor at property bearing No. 3578-79, Netaji Subhash Marg, more

particularly as shown in red colour in the site plan annexed to the eviction petition.

8. Respondent, who is present in Court in person, undertakes that respondent shall vacate and handover the peaceful vacant possession of the

tenanted premises to the petitioner on or before 31.10.2021. Respondent further undertakes that he shall pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- per month as use

and occupation charges to the petitioner with effect from 01.02.2020 till the time he hands over the peaceful vacant possession of the tenanted

premises to the petitioner on or before 31.10.2021.

9. Respondent further undertakes that he shall clear all water, electricity and other dues/charges in respect of the tenanted premises before he vacates

the premises. He further undertakes that he shall not sublet, assign or part with the possession of the tenanted premises or any part thereof. He

further undertakes that he shall not cause any damage to the tenanted premises and shall hand over the possession of the same in the condition as it

exists today subject to normal wear and tear.

- 10. The undertaking is accepted.
- 11. Learned counsel for the petitioner under instructions from the petitioner submits that the undertaking is also acceptable to the petitioner.
- 12. It is directed that in terms of Section 14(7) of the Delhi Rent Control Act, 1958 this order of eviction shall not be executable for a period of six

months from today. Further, subject to respondent filing an affidavit of undertaking in the above terms, within a period of two weeks, execution of the

eviction order passed today shall remain stayed till 31.10.2021.

- 13. Copy of the order be forwarded to the concerned Rent Controller.
- 14. Order Dasti under signatures of the Court Master.