

---

**(2020) 07 PAT CK 0051**

**Patna High Court**

**Case No:** Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 20709 Of 2019

Madan Kumar

APPELLANT

Vs

State Of Bihar And Ors

RESPONDENT

---

**Date of Decision:** July 6, 2020

**Hon'ble Judges:** Sanjay Karol, CJ; S. Kumar, J

**Bench:** Division Bench

**Advocate:** Ajit Kumar, Vikash Kuma

**Final Decision:** Allowed

---

### **Judgement**

The proceedings of the Court are being conducted through Video Conferencing and the Advocates joined the proceedings through Video

Conferencing from their residence.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

This writ petition has been filed for release of TVS Black Colour Motorcycle bearing registration no. BR01 DU-5452, Engine No. DP1DJ2450195,

Chasis No. MD621EP19J3D14792 which has been seized in connection with Punpun P.S. Case No. 219 of 2019 registered under Bihar Prohibition

and Excise Act, 2016.

Allegation is recovery of 80 Kg of mahua flower from the vehicle of petitioner, on basis of which Punpun P.S. Case No. 219 of 2019 was instituted

for offences under Excise Act and the mahua flower as well as vehicle were seized. Division Bench of this court in its judgment dated 09.07.2019

passed in C.W.J.C. No. 23163 of 2018 ( Umesh Kumar @ Umesh Mahto Vs. State of Bihar and other analogous cases) with respect to seizure of

mahua flower under Excise Act, 2016 has held as follows :

“In result, we hold that the confiscation proceedings, if any, initiated against the petitioners for alleged violation of Section 3 of the Mahua

Flowers Rules read alongside the provisions of the Act for possession of Mahua Flowers exceeding 5 kgs., is without sanction of law and

consequently the confiscation proceeding, if any, initiated against the petitioners shall stand quashed and the vehicles seized, if not already released,

shall be released in favour of the owner on production of ownership papers.”

It has been stated that no confiscation proceeding has been initiated and even if any confiscation proceeding has been initiated, same is directed to be

dropped.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file a petition in the court of Special Judge, (Excise), Patna in Punpun

P.S. Case No. 219 of 2019 for release of the vehicle as bar of jurisdiction in confiscation under section 60 of the Act is not applicable and Special

Court (Excise) has jurisdiction to release the vehicle and upon such petition being filed the Special Court (Excise), Patna is directed to provisionally

release the vehicle in favour of its registered owner on due identification and production of ownership documents on usual terms and conditions as

imposed for release of vehicle within 30 days from the date of filing of such petition.

The writ petition is allowed.