

Ajay Kumar Reddy Vs Rajshree

Court: Chhattisgarh High Court

Date of Decision: Aug. 13, 2019

Acts Referred: Constitution Of India, 1950 " Article 227

Hon'ble Judges: Sanjay K. Agrawal, J

Bench: Single Bench

Advocate: Ajay Kumar Reddy

Final Decision: Dismissed

Judgement

Sanjay K. Agrawal, J

1. By the impugned order dated 29/07/2019, petitioner's application for re-examination of the respondent/applicant namely Rajshree Naidu has been

rejected by learned family Court against which this writ petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India has been preferred by the petitioner.

2. Learned petitioner in person submits that another opportunity be granted to him to re-examine the respondent/applicant in view of the subsequent

event that the respondent/applicant has filed a number of documents after her examination and her re-examination is necessary for just and proper

adjudication of the matter.

3. I have heard learned petitioner in person at length and perused the records.

4. Learned family Court has clearly recorded a finding that the petitioner herein was granted sufficient time to cross-examine respondent/applicant,

which he did on two occasions i.e. on 13/10/2016 and 28/11/2016 and she had been cross-examined on behalf of the petitioner. Moreover, learned

family Court has also held that a similar application was filed by the petitioner earlier too, for cross-examination of the respondent/applicant, which

was rejected. After hearing the petitioner and after going through the records, I do not find any perversity or illegality in the impugned order as the

respondent herein has already been subjected to cross-examination at the instance of the petitioner.

5. The writ petition deserves to be and is accordingly dismissed, without notice to the other side. No order as to cost(s).