

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 24/10/2025

Dimpal Sahare Vs State Of Chhattisgarh And Ors

Writ Petition (S) No. 7180 Of 2019

Court: Chhattisgarh High Court

Date of Decision: Sept. 12, 2019

Hon'ble Judges: P. Sam Koshy, J

Bench: Single Bench

Advocate: Ajay Shrivastava, Chandresh Shrivastava

Final Decision: Disposed Of

Judgement

- P. Sam Koshy, J
- 1. Grievance of the petitioner in the instant writ petition is the non-acceptance of the past services, rendered by her as Teacher Panchayat, by the

respondents for the purpose of absorption in the Education Department.

2. Case of the petitioner is that initially she was appointed in the Panchayat Department as Shiksha Karmi Grade-III in the year 2011. Subsequently, in

another recruitment process, she again got selected as Assistant Teacher (Nagriya Nikay) on 11.1.2012. According to the petitioner, for the purpose

of grant of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service, the department had counted her past services that she had rendered under the

Panchayat Department, that is, the appointment initially made in the year 2011 and subsequently made in the year 2012 and had granted the benefit to

the petitioner.

3. Grievance of the petitioner now is that though the department has accepted her past services for the purpose of revised pay scale but for the

purpose of the counting total length of service for absorption in the Education Department the past services is not being considered.

4. The reason which has been informed to the petitioner is that, she has not obtained NOC from the Panchayat Department while applying for

appointment in the Nagriya Nikay and another reason for not considering was that there is a change in the department of the petitioner for the purpose

of counting two services.

5. So far as the non-obtaining of NOC is concerned, the said aspect already stands decided by this Court in the case of Mukesh Patel Vs. State of

Chhattisgarh in WPS No. 2530/2017 decided on 28.11.2017, and so far as the change of the department is concerned that issue also stands decided by

this Court in the case of Shabnam Khatun Vs. State of Chhattisgarh in WPS No. 6147/2018 and other connected writ petitions decided on 27.10.2018.

6. Given the aforesaid facts and judgments delivered by this Court, no strong reasons have been made out by the department as to why the services

rendered by the petitioner in the Panchayat Department would not be acceptable for the purpose of counting her total length of service so far as the

claim for absorption is concerned. Once when the department has already accepted the past services for the purpose of revised pay scale there does

not seem to be any good reason for not applying the same analogy for determining the issue of absorption.

7. Given the said facts and circumstances of the case, let the case of the petitioner be scrutinized by respondents No. 1 & 2 and an appropriate order

be passed deciding as to why the past services of the petitioner cannot be counted for the purpose of absorption when the department itself has

counted the said period for the purpose of grant of revised pay scale.

8. Let an appropriate order be passed by respondents No. 1 & 2 within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order after

thorough verification of the individual details of the petitioner.

- 9. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioner to apprise respondents No. 1 & 2 so far as the order passed by this Court today is concerned.
- 10. The petitioner would also be at liberty to file a fresh representation, if she so wants.
- 11. With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition stands disposed of.