

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 23/12/2025

(2019) 10 CHH CK 0098

Chhattisgarh High Court

Case No: Criminal Miscellaneous Petition (CRMP) No. 2250 Of 2019

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Station House Officer

APPELLANT

Vs

Avinash Mandal RESPONDENT

Date of Decision: Oct. 16, 2019

Acts Referred:

Indian Penal Code, 1860 - Section 363, 366A, 376(2)(), 506

Protection Of Children From Sexual Offences Act, 2012 - Section 5(), 6

Hon'ble Judges: Prashant Kumar Mishra, J; Gautam Chourdiya, J

Bench: Division Bench **Advocate:** K.K. Singh

Final Decision: Dismissed

Judgement

Prashant Kumar Mishra, J

- 1. Heard.
- 2. On due consideration, delay of 80 days in filing the present CRMP is condoned. Accordingly, I. A. No.1 is allowed.
- 3. The Trial Court has acquitted the accused of the charges under Sections 363, 366, 376(2)() and 506 of the IPC and Section 5()/6 of the

Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 and Section 3(2)(v) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities)

Act, 1989.

4. Prosecutrix was subjected to forcible sexual intercourse on promise to marry. They stayed together for about 5 months and thereafter the accused

refused to marry her. It appears the prosecutrix was a consenting party, therefore, her age is a very significant factor to decide as to whether the

accused has committed rape with a minor girl, however, the prosecution has failed to seize and prove the Kotwar Register nor the prosecutrix was

sent for ossification test despite so recommended by the physician. The only document produced by the prosecution for proving the age, is the

progress report of the school, however, the said evidence is not conclusive in nature. Therefore, in the absence of conclusive evidence regarding the

age of the prosecutrix the trial Court has rightly found that the prosecution has failed to prove that the prosecutrix was less than 18 years of age on the date of incident.

- 5. No case for grant of leave to appeal is made out.
- 6. Accordingly, CRMP deserves to be and is hereby dismissed.