

Pushpa Nathani Vs State Of Chhattisgarh And Ors

Court: Chhattisgarh High Court

Date of Decision: Sept. 4, 2018

Hon'ble Judges: Prashant Kumar Mishra, J

Bench: Single Bench

Advocate: Badruddin Khan, AS Kachhawaha

Final Decision: Dismissed

Judgement

Prashant Kumar Mishra, J

1. The petitioner has prayed for a direction to the respondents not to visit her house without any notice, summon or warrant.

2. In response, the Station House Officer has filed an affidavit that the petitioner's husband Kannu Nathani @ Ashok Nathani is a known history-

sheeter of the area against whom several criminal cases were registered. Details of the criminal cases registered against him within different police

stations of Raipur and nearby areas are mentioned in the chart Annexure- A/2 & A/3 and that of his son Manish as Annexure-A/4.

3. It is submitted by learned State Counsel that as and when it is suspected or any report is made to the concerned Police Station, enquiries are made,

however, the petitioner or her husband is never harassed in the name of investigation or enquiry. He would submit that the petitioner has not cited any

single instance giving particulars as to which policeman or police officer has ever gone to her place at odd hours.

4. Considering the explanation put forth by the Station House Officer, I do not find any substance in this writ petition, the same deserves to be and is

hereby dismissed.