

Khalil Ansari Vs State Of Bihar And Anr

Court: Patna High Court

Date of Decision: Feb. 5, 2021

Acts Referred: Indian Penal Code, 1860 " Section 498A
 Code Of Criminal Procedure, 1973 " Section 438(2)

Hon'ble Judges: Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J

Bench: Single Bench

Advocate: Sanjeev Kumar Shrivastava, Satyendra Prasad

Final Decision: Disposed Of

Judgement

1. Heard Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Shrivastava, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Satyendra Prasad, learned Additional Public Prosecutor

(hereinafter referred to as the "APP") for the State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the opposite party no. 2 has filed a compromise petition in the Court below on 06.01.2021.

3. Learned APP submitted that the Superintendent of Police, West Champaran has submitted a report in which it has been stated that the opposite

party no. 2 had stated that she was not ready to live with the petitioner. However, there is no mentioning that any compromise petition was filed

though learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the same was filed on 06.01.2021.

4. This creates genuine doubt in the mind of the Court with regard to the compromise as to whether it is correct and has been filed without any

pressure. As the same has been filed supported by an affidavit, signed by the petitioner himself, the Court, at this stage, would not doubt its

authenticity. However, it shall be open to the opposite party no. 2, if any controversy arises with regard to the said compromise petition.

5. The petitioner apprehends arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 1839 of 2018 dated 20.10.2018, instituted under Section 498A of the Indian

Penal Code.

6. The petitioner, who is the husband of opposite party no. 2, is alleged to have tortured the opposite party no. 2 for dowry and ousted her from the

matrimonial home.

7. As there is a compromise on record in which the opposite party no. 2 has stated that she is not willing to live with the petitioner and has no claim

against him as also the report from the Superintendent of Police, West Champaran that she and her family members have stated that she would not go

and live with the petitioner, the Court is inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Court below within six weeks from today, the petitioner be released on bail upon

furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial

Magistrate, West Champaran, Bettiah in Complaint Case No. 1839 of 2018, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) of the Code of

Criminal Procedure, 1973. Further, one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner. The petitioner shall cooperate with the Court. Failure to

do so, shall result in cancellation of his bail bonds.

9. The application stands disposed off in the aforementioned terms.