

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 24/10/2025

Nakul Kaushik Vs State Of Chhattisgarh And Ors

Writ Appeal No. 214 Of 2018

Court: Chhattisgarh High Court

Date of Decision: July 12, 2018

Acts Referred:

Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 â€" Section 2A

Hon'ble Judges: Ajay Kumar Tripathi, CJ; Pritinker Diwaker, J

Bench: Division Bench

Advocate: K.P.S. Gandhi, Ramakant Mishra

Final Decision: Dismissed

Judgement

Ajay Kumar Tripathi, CJ

- 1. Heard the counsel for the Appellant and counsel for the State.
- 2. Even according to the counsel for the Appellant though he had been engaged as a daily wager sometime in the year 1994 but has not been in any

kind of engagement after January 2001. It is only now that he has sought to raise a dispute before the Assistant Labour Commissioner against

disengagement in the year 2017. There is no explanation as to why a citizen will wait for 16 years to raise a grievance against his disengagement as a

daily wager. The authorities, therefore, are not bound to entertain any frivolous application at the behest of a person according to his convenience and

time of his liking.

3. The learned Single Judge considering the totally of the facts as well as the law on the issue has observed in his order dated 07.02.2018 in

paragraphs 7 and 8 which are as follows:

7. Given the aforesaid factual matrix of the case, this court is of the opinion that the Assistant Labour Commissioner does not seem to have

committed any error while rejecting the application on the ground of delay and laches. Even otherwise, there is a judgment of this court in case of

Municipal Corporation, Rajnandgaon Vs. Narayan Lal Sinha, ILR 2016 Chhattisgarh 638, wherein it has been held that the dispute pertaining to

termination and dismissal has to be raised in the light of the amended provisions of under Section 2-A of the Industrial Disputes

8. This court has also recently in case of Ramkrishna Das Vs. Municipal Corporation, Rajnandgaon (Writ Petition (L) NO. 15 of 2018, decided on

25.01.2018) and two other connected petitions, has upheld the order of Labour Court, Rajnandgaon, rejecting the claim application of the Petitioner on

the ground of delay and laches in the light of new amended provision of the Industrial Disputes Act.

4. We are satisfied that the decision provided as above on the grounds alone are legal and valid. Appeal is dismissed.