

(2018) 05 CHH CK 0198

Chhattisgarh High Court

Case No: Miscellaneous Criminal Case (MCRC) No. 3307 Of 2018

Pooja Sahu

APPELLANT

Vs

State Of Chhattisgarh

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision: May 21, 2018

Acts Referred:

- Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956 - Section 3, 4, 5, 7
- Code Of Criminal Procedure, 1973 - Section 439

Hon'ble Judges: Pritinker Diwaker, J

Bench: Single Bench

Advocate: Vikash Shrivastava, Ashish Shukla

Final Decision: Allowed

Judgement

1. The applicant has filed this application under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail, as she is in custody since 27.02.2018 in connection

with Crime No.39/2018, registered at Police Station Tumgaon, District Mahasamund, for the offence punishable under Sections 3, 4, 5 & 7 of the

Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956.

2. As per prosecution case, on 26.02.2018 at about 9:45 pm, the SDOP, Mahasamund after receiving secret information conducted the raid in the

house of co-accused Nanki @ Bhuri Bai, where he found the applicant along with the co-accused persons in the suspicious condition. Certain

objectionable articles were seized from the possession of some of the accused persons.

3. Counsel for the applicant submits that similarly placed co-accused persons have been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 28.03.2018. It has

been argued that the trial may take much time and, therefore, the applicant be released on bail.

4. On the other hand, the State counsel opposes the bail application.

5. Considering the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the fact that the similarly placed co-accused persons have been

granted bail by this Court, this Court is of the opinion to release the applicant on bail. Accordingly, the application is allowed and the applicant is

directed to be released on bail on her executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs. 50,000/- with one surety for the like amount to the satisfaction of the

trial Court. She is directed to appear before the trial Court on each and every date given by the said Court.