

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 24/08/2025

M.K. Ranjitsinh & Ors Vs Union Of India & Ors

Court: Supreme Court Of India

Date of Decision: April 19, 2021

Acts Referred: Constitution Of India, 1950 â€" Article 21

Companies Act, 2013 â€" Section 135, 166(2) Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 â€" Section 2(a)

Compensatory Afforestation Fund Act, 2016 â€" Section 4, 5, 6

Citation: (2021) 6 JT 137: (2021) 6 Scale 26

Hon'ble Judges: Sharad Arvind Bobde, CJ; A.S. Bopanna, J; V. Ramasubramanian, J

Bench: Full Bench

Advocate: Shyam Divan, Prashanto Chandra Sen, Sonia Dube, Sugandha Yadav, Shatadru Chakraborty, Ria Sawhney, Surbhi Anand, Gurmeet Singh Makker, Dr. Manish Singhvi, Milind Kumar, Arjun Garg, Mahfooz A. Nazki, Polanki Gowtham, Shaik Mohamad Haneef, T. Vijaya Bhaskar Reddy, Amitabh Sinha, Shrey Sharma, Aaditya A. Pande, Rahul Chitnis Sachin Patil, Geo Joseph Dr. Abhishek Manu Singhvi, Mahesh Agarwal, Arshit Anand, Yojit Mehra, Kamakshi Sehgal, Amit Bhandari, Ashima Chauhan, E.C. Agrawala, Somesh Chandra Jha, Rahul Narang, Deepanwita Priyanka, Aniruddha P. Mayee, M.G. Ramachandran, Hemantika Wahi, Ranjitha Ramachandran, Jesal wahi, Ranji Thomas, V. N. Raghupathy, Shivi Sanyam, Pratishtha Vij, Jappanpreet Hora, A. Karthik, Devendra Singh, Anant Kumar Vatsya, Vatsya Krishnaiya, Arti Singh, Aakashdeep Singh Roda, Basant Pal Singh, Pooja Singh

Judgement

1. The writ petition is filed in the nature of public interest seeking to protect two species of birds namely the Great Indian Bustard ($\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a},\neg\tilde{E}$ α GIB $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a},\neg\hat{a}$, ϕ for,

short) and the Lesser Florican, which is on the verge of extinction. The existence of overhead power lines is stated to have become a hazard due to",

which the said species of birds on collision are getting killed. In the pending writ petition, the application in I.A. No.85618/2020 is filed seeking interim",

directions to direct the State of Rajasthan (respondents No.5 and 6) and State of Gujarat (respondents No.9 to 11) to ensure predator proof fencing,",

controlled grazing in the enclosure development and to direct the said respondents not to permit installation of overhead power lines and also not permit,

further construction of windmills and installation of solar infrastructure in priority and potential habitat as identified by the Wildlife Institute of India..

The petitioner is also seeking a direction to the respondents to install divertors for the powerlines which has been listed in the application.,

2. The very subject matter indicates that though such directions are sought against the respondents, the litigation is not adversarial in nature as it is",

community interest. In fact, the petitioners being environmentalists, are seeking to protect the rare birds which are dwindling in number. It is contended",

that GIB is one of the heaviest flying birds in the world, about a meter in height and wing span of around seven feet. It has disappeared from 90 per".

cent of habitat except parts of Rajasthan and Gujarat which is to be protected. According to the petitioners, overhead power lines are the biggest",

threat to the survival of the GIBs. The Wildlife Institute of India (WII) in its Report ââ,¬Å"Power Line Mitigation, 2018ââ,¬ has stated that every year 1",

lakh birds die due to collision with power lines. The Report concluded that unless power line mortality is mitigated urgently, extinction of GIBs is",

certain. Surveys conducted by Wildlife Institute of India (WII) in Thar covering 80 km of power lines repeated 7 times over a year found 289,

carcasses of around 30 species, including the Great Indian Bustard (GIB). The study estimated 3 bird mortalities/km/month for lowÃ,tension lines, 6",

bird mortalities/km/month for highÃ,tension lines, and about 1 lakh birds/per year within a 4200 sq.km area in/around Desert National Park, Rajasthan.",

In terms of GIB, 6 mortalities have been recorded in Thar during 2017 \tilde{A} , 20, all due to high \tilde{A} ,tension transmission lines \tilde{A} ¢ \hat{a} ,¬" some of them connected to",

wind turbine. Therefore, petitioner seeks undergrounding all future overhead power lines; selected power lines in priority GIB habitat and",

installation of divertors in potential habitat.,

In fact, it is admitted by the Ministry of Power, Union of India in their affidavit dated 15.03.2021 as follows: A
,â€⟨",

 \tilde{A} ¢â,¬Å"The Great Indian Bustard (\tilde{A} ¢â,¬Å"GIB \tilde{A} ¢â,¬â€·) lacks frontal vision. Due to this, they cannot detect powerlines ahead of them, from far. As they are heavy",

birds, they are unable to manoeuvre across power lines within close distances. Thus, they are vulnerable to collision with power lines. In case of low",

voltage lines, electrocution is often the cause of death due to smaller phase to phase separation distance. High voltage lines do not cause death due to",

electrocution but cause death due to collision.ââ,¬â€,

4. But, this Court while considering IA Nos.1433 and 1477 of 2005 in the case of T.N. Godavarman Thirumulpad Vs. Union of India & Ors. (2012) 3",

SCC 277 has observed as hereunder:,

ââ,¬Å"17. Environmental justice could be achieved only if we drift away from the principle of anthropocentric to ecocentric. Many of our principles like,

sustainable development, polluterÃ,pays principle, intergenerational equity have their roots in anthropocentric principles. Anthropocentrism is always",

human interest focussed and that nonÃ,human has only instrumental value to humans. In other words, humans take precedence and human",

responsibilities to nonÃ,human based benefits to humans. Ecocentrism is natureÃ,centred where humans are part of nature and nonÃ,humans have,

intrinsic value. In other words, human interest does not take automatic precedence and humans have obligations to nonÃ,humans independently of",

human interest. Ecocentrism is therefore lifeÃ,centred, natureÃ,centred where nature includes both humans and nonÃ,humans. The National Wildlife",

Action Plan 2002Ã,2012 and the Centrally Sponsored Integrated Development of Wildlife Habitats Scheme, 2009 are centred on the principle of",

ecocentrism.ââ,¬â€<,

In that context while taking note of the contention of the State relating to lack of funds, reference was made to the Centrally Sponsored Integrated",

Development of Wildlife Habitats Scheme, 2009 which provides for financial sharing between Centre and State. Though taken note in the context of",

conservation of wild buffalo the pattern of funding was taken note in paraÃ,23 which provides for 100% central assistance in respect of GIB, for",

both recurring and nonÃ,â€recurring items of expenditure.,

5. Further this Court in the case of Centre for Environmental Law, World Wide Fund ââ,¬" India Vs. Union of India & Ors., (2013) 8 SCC 234",

while considering the protection and conservation of endangered species has observed as hereunder:,

ââ,¬Å"45. We may point out that there has been wideÃ, ranging discussions and deliberations on the international platforms and conferences for reÃ,â€■,

building of certain principles laid down in the earlier conventions on the Principles of Sustainable Development. The United Nations Commission on,

Environment and Development defined the ââ,¬Å"sustainable developmentââ,¬â€ as follows:,

 $\tilde{A}\phi$ a, \neg Å"Sustainable development is the development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet,

their own needs.ââ,¬â€(World Commission on Economic Development [WCED], 1987: 43)",

46. Sustainable development, it has been argued by various eminent environmentalists, clearly postulates an anthropocentric bias, least concerned with",

the rights of other species which live on this earth. Anthropocentrism is always human interest focussed thinking that nonÃ, human has only,

instrumental value to humans, in other words, humans take precedence and human responsibilities to nonÂ,human are based on benefits to humans.",

Ecocentrism is natureÃ, centred, where humans are part of nature and nonÃ,humans have intrinsic value. In other words, human interest does not take",

automatic precedence and humans have obligations to nonÃ,humans independently of human interest. Ecocentrism is, therefore, lifeÃ,centred,",

natureÃ,†centred where nature includes both humans and nonÃ,†humans.ââ,¬â€ ,

 $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a}, \neg \tilde{A}$ "48. Article 21 of the Constitution of India protects not only the human rights but also casts an obligation on human beings to protect and preserve a,

species becoming extinct, conservation and protection of environment is an inseparable part of right to life. In M.C. Mehta v. Kamal Nath [(1997) 1",

SCC 388], this Court enunciated the doctrine of $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a},\neg \hat{A}$ "public trust $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a},\neg$, the thrust of that theory is that certain common properties such as rivers,",

seashores, forests and the air are held by the Government in trusteeship for the free and unimpeded use of the general public. The resources like air,",

sea, waters and the forests have such a great importance to the people as a whole, that it would be totally unjustified to make them a subject of private",

ownership. The State, as a custodian of the natural resources, has a duty to maintain them not merely for the benefit of the public, but for the best",

interest of flora and fauna, wildlife and so on. The doctrine of ââ,¬Å"public trustââ,¬â€ has to be addressed in that perspective.",

49. We, as human beings, have a duty to prevent the species from going extinct and have to advocate for an effective species protection regimes.",

NWAP 2002Ã,2016 and the CentrallyÃ, sponsored scheme, 2009 indicate that there are many animal species which are close enough to extinction and",

some of the other species have already disappeared from this earth. No species can survive on the brink of extinction indefinitely and that the,

continued existence of any species depends upon various factors like human \tilde{A} , $\hat{a} \in \alpha$ animal conflict, epidemics, forest fire and other natural calamities, etc. $\tilde{A} \notin \hat{a}$, $\neg \hat{a} \in \alpha$,

The State as well as the Central Government therefore, have a duty cast to preserve the endangered species and as such the expenses incurred will",

have to be provided by them either under the schemes available or by earmarking the same in such manner. Needless to mention that in the instant,

case the preservation is by undergrounding the powerlines and in that context if cost is incurred, it would also be permissible to pass on a portion of",

such expenses to the ultimate consumer subject to approval of the Competent Regulatory Authority.,

6. The respondents though are sensitive to the issue, have contended that the highĀ,voltage lines do not cause GIB deaths due to electrocution but",

cause death due to collision. It is contended that the underground highÃ, voltage line is not technically feasible due to several factors such as (i) high,

cost (ii) high downtime to repair any failed cable (iii) nonÃ, availability of cables at 765 Kv level and (iv) increase in the number of joints with length of,

run. The petitioners/applicants in order to controvert the same and contend that the undergrounding of highÃ,voltage line is not a novel move but has,

been undertaken in other cases, have referred to the tender notification issued by Power Transmission Corporation of Uttarakhand Limited for 220",

KV transmission line and the one issued by Delhi Transport Limited for 220 KV underground cable.,

7. In addition, the petitioners have also referred to the invitation of public comments for laying underground cable transmission line of 220 KV by the",

Government of India, Ministry of Road Transport and Highways. The report published by the Power Grid Corporation is referred to indicate that the",

undergrounding of 220 KV power line is possible and is being done in India. It is specifically contended that the 10 km long power lines were made,

underground by GETCO for the safety of Greater Flamingos in the Khadir Region of Kutch. Similar such instances of underground power lines being,

laid is also referred by Mr. Shyam Divan, learned senior counsel for the petitioner. Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, learned ASG and Dr. Manish Singhvi,",

learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents however sought to indicate that the instances referred, wherein the tender notifications".

were issued for underground power lines cannot be made comparable in all cases inasmuch as the same would be possible depending on the area,",

terrain and the distance for which such cable line is to be laid which cannot be of universal application.,

8. In that background, keeping in view, the sustainable development concept and on striking a balance the protection of the rare species of birds is",

essentially to be made, the effort being to save every bird while at the same time allowing transmission of power in an appropriate manner. Even as",

per the study/survey conducted by the Wildlife Institute of India, it would not be feasible to lay underground power cables in certain areas and the".

conversion of the already existing cables also cannot be made in certain locations. In such of the locations, it is recommended that $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a}, \neg \tilde{E}\omega$ bird",

divertors $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a}$, $\neg\hat{a}$, ϕ be installed on the existing power lines and the undergrounding of the new power line wherever technically feasible in the vicinity of the,

habitats of the rare species of birds be undertaken.,

9. The report dated 11.07.2019 was submitted by the Wildlife Institute before the National Green Tribunal to that effect and para 4.2 of the report,

reads as hereunder:,

 $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a}, \neg \hat{A}$ "4.2. Mitigate all power transmission lines passing through priority bustard habitats identified by WII (Please refer Annexure 10) by undergrounding,

cables (where technically/technologically feasible) or installing bird divertors to make them prominent to birds. The priority areas where this,

intervention is required has been mapped by the Wildlife Institute of India and a technicalÃ,cumÃ,financial proposal has been submitted to RVPNL for,

necessary approvals from Rajasthan Energy Department for mitigation. This action must be expeditiously implemented in the shortÃ,term (1Ã,3 years),",

as powerÃ,â€(line mortality is currently the biggest threat to the species.ââ,¬â€(,

10. In addition to the death of the birds due to collision and electrocution, the conservation strategy also requires protecting the eggs of the said species",

of birds and the same being transferred to breeding centres for the purpose of hatching. In that regard, for conservation, the habitat restoration and for",

making it predator proof, appropriate fencing is to be provided to the breeding grounds. In that regard, pictorial representation of the priority and",

potential area is indicated in Annexure AÃ,â€<7 (page 74) of I.A. No.85618/2020 which is also depicted here below.,

11. In the above background, there cannot be disagreement whatsoever that appropriate steps are required to be taken to protect the said species of",

birds. In that view, insofar as the existing overhead powerlines are concerned the respondents shall take steps forthwith to install divertors and in",

respect of existing overhead powerlines all future cases of installing the transmission lines a study shall be conducted with regard to the feasibility for,

the lines to be laid underground. In all such cases where it is feasible, steps shall be taken to lay the transmission line underground. For the lines to be",

laid in future if as per the technical report the overhead line alone is feasible and the same is ratified by the Committee, in such event the installation of",

the divertors shall also be a condition attached in the contract to be entered with generating companies. Insofar as, the cost incurred in the said",

process, the concerned respondents No. 5 to 8 and 9 to 11 shall work out and provide for the same and the respondents No.1 to 4 aid in this regard. It",

would be open to them to muster the resources in accordance with law. In cases where the power generators are required to bear the additional,

amount adding to the cost of production, it would be open to regulate the manner in which the cost would be mitigated in accordance with contractual",

terms. Irrespective of the cost factor the priority shall be to save the near extinct birds.,

12. In fact, a few suggestions were made in the course of arguments, as to how financial resources can be mobilised. One of the options that could be",

explored, is to invite the attention of each electricity utility engaged in the generation of power, to Section 135 of the Companies Act, 2013, which",

imposes corporate social responsibility upon companies having a specified net worth or turnover or net profit. Section 166(2) of the Companies Act,",

2013 ordains the Director of a Company to act in good faith, not only in the best interest of the Company, its employees, the shareholders and the",

community, but also for the protection of environment. The word $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a}, \neg \hat{A}$ "environment $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a}, \neg$, though not defined in the Companies Act, has to be given the",

meaning assigned to it under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986. Section 2(a) of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, defines the word",

 $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a},\neg \hat{A}$ "environment $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a},\neg$ to include the $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a},\neg \hat{A}$ "inter \tilde{A} , relationship which exists among and between water, air and land, and human beings, other living",

creatures, plants, microÃ,â€c organisms and propertyââ,¬â€c",

Moreover, with the implementation of the Compensatory Afforestation Fund Act, 2016 (CAF, 2016), substantial funds are available with the National",

and State Authorities. Sections 4, 5 and 6 of the Act, provide for the utilisation of the fund for measures to mitigate threats to wildlife. The State of",

Rajasthan has already set up a Compensatory Afforestation Fund Management and Planning Authority (CAMPA) on 12.11.2009. Rule 5(2)(i) of,

these Rules permit the use of the State Fund for the improvement of wildlife habitat. It appears, according to the petitioners that a sum of Rs.47.436",

crores, out of a total of Rs.54,685 crores CAMPA Fund have been transferred by the Union Environment Ministry to the States for afforestation",

projects.,

13. With regard to the conservation of the habitat to secure the safety of the eggs laid by the birds, the area earmarked and indicated as islands and",

shown in AnnexureÃ,AÃ,7 and in light colour in sketch here below shall be fenced and protected from invasion by predators so that the eggs laid in,

these areas are protected. The power supply line regarding which underground passage is to be made should also avoid these areas..

14. In the light of the contentions urged on this aspect of the matter, we are conscious that the laying of the underground power line more particularly",

of highÃ,†voltage though not impossible, would require technical evaluation on caseÃ,†toÃ,†case basis and an omnibus conclusion cannot be reached laying",

down a uniform method and directions cannot be issued unmindful of the fact situation. Though that be the position the consensus shall be that all low,

voltage powerlines to be laid in the priority and potential habitats of GIB shall in all cases be laid underground in future. In respect of low voltage,

overhead powerlines existing presently in the priority and potential habitats of GIB, the same shall be converted into underground powerlines. In",

respect of highÃ,voltage powerlines in the priority and potential habitats of GIB, more particularly the powerlines referred in the prayer column of I.A.",

No.85618/2020 and indicated in the operative portion of this order shall be converted into underground power line. The potential and priority area in,

Kutch and Thar respectively are as per the sketch shown below:,

While considering the laying of underground power line the said habitats shall be kept in perspective and steps be taken for the safety of the GIB in,

the said habitat.,

15. As already taken note above, the laying of highÃ, voltage underground power line would require expertise to assess the feasibility of the same. For",

this specific purpose of assessing the feasibility after taking into consideration all technical details, we deem it proper to constitute a committee",

consisting of the following members:,

(i) Dr. Rahul Rawat, Scientist,",

Room No.021, BlockÃ,â€<14,",

Ministry of New and Renewable Energy, CGO Complex, Lodi Road,",

New Delhi.,

(ii) Dr. Sutirtha Dutta, Scientist,",

Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun.",

b) List of powerlines for installation of divertors from

Rajasthan", Capacity

- 1) Jaisalmer ââ,¬" Ramgarh Ã,â€₁1 (40 Km),132 kv
- 2) Jaisalmer ââ,¬" Ramgarh Ã,â€⟨2 (40 Km),132 kv
- 3) Askandra (Pokran to Askandra) (30 Km),132 kv
- 4) Askandra (Pokran to Askandra) (20 Km),132 kv
- 5) Amarsagar ââ,¬" Ramgarh (40 Km),220 kv
- 6) Amarsagar ââ,¬" Lilo (8 Km),220 kv
- 7) Amarsagar ââ,¬" Phalodi (54 Km),220 kv
- 8) Amarsagar ââ,¬" Phalodi (71 Km),220 kv
- 9) Ramgarh Dechu (49 Km),220 kv
- 10) Ramgarh Dechu (43 Km),220 kv
- 11) Ramgarh Dechu (50 Km),220 kv
- 12) Akai ââ,¬" Ramgarh (55 Km),400 kv
- 13) Tejuva ââ,¬" Kuchadi (138 km),33 kv
- 14) Kaladongar (70 Km),33 kv
- 15) Mokla ââ,¬" Habur ââ,¬" Sanu (301 km),33 kv
- 16) Tejuva ââ,¬" Kuchadi (25 km),132 kv
- 17) Kaladongar (47 km),132/220 kv
- 18) Mokla ââ,¬" Habur ââ,¬" Sanu (43 km),132/220 kv
- 19) Chandan Via Bhagu ka Gaon to Mohangarh (70 km),33 kv
- 20) Amarsagar ââ,¬" Ramgarh (40 km),220 kv
- 21) Amarsagar ââ,¬" Ludarva (4 km),33 kv
- 3) Bhanada to Valram Society (6.1 Km),66 KV
- 4) GETCO Substation to Dhanawada ââ,¬" Nanawada (9.81 Km),Unknown
- 5) GETCO Substation to KotharaÃ,†Mothala Road (9.69 Km),Unknown
- 6) Jakhau to Prajau road substation (10.9 Km), Unknown
- 7) Jakhau to Sindhodi (8.39 Km), Unknown
- 8) Jakhau to Sindhodi (8.53 Km), Unknown

- 9) Jakhau to Sindhodi (8.57 Km), Unknown
- 10) JakhauÃ,​Vanku Road to Prajau Road substation (3.43 Km),Unknown
- 11) Kalatalav Khirsara Road (9.0 Km), Unknown
- 12) Khirsara Kothara (8.20 Km), Unknown
- 13) Khirsara to Kothara River Wastelands (2.24 Km), Unknown
- 14) Kunathiya GETCO to Bitta & around Adani Solar (6.65),220 kv
- 15) Kunathiya GETCO to Tera (7.32 Km),66 KV
- 16) Kunathiya GETCO towards Rava (3.34 km),66 KV
- 17) Lala to Jakhau (11.6 Km), Unknown
- 18) Line near Khorsara (2.77 Km), Unknown
- 19) Line near Lala village (1.45 Km), Unknown
- 20) NaliyaÃ,†Kothara Road (6.58 Km), Unknown
- 21) NaliyaÃ,â€⋅Kothara Highway (15.0 Km),Unknown
- 22) NaliyaÃ,â€⋅Kothara Highway Line (15.7 km),Unknown
- 23) NaliyaÃ,†Kothara Road to Prajau (9.15 Km), Unknown
- 24) NaliyaÃ,â€⋅Kothara Road to VankuÃ,â€⋅Lala Road (10.8 km),66 KV
- 25) Prajau Road (5.57 Km), Unknown
- 26) Prajau to NaliyaÃ,†Jakhau Road, Unknown
- 27) Prajau Road line passing through Naliya Grasslands (4.43 km), Unknown
- 28) Prajau Road substation to NaliyaÃ,†Kothara Road substation, Unknown
- 29) Prajau village to Prajau Road (5.82 Km), Unknown
- 30) Part of Bhamedi to NaliyaÃ,†Jakhau Road (8.19 km), Unknown
- 31) Part of Fulay Vandh to NaliyaÃ,†Jakhau Highway (8.27 Km),Unknown
- 32) Part of KotharaÃ,†Naliya (8.82 Km), Unknown
- 33) Part of KotharaÃ,†Naliya line (9.36 km), Unknown
- 34) Part of Vanku to Fulay Vandh line (1km), Unknown
- 35) Khirsara to Highway River Wastelands (1.59 Km), Unknown
- 36) Kunathiya GETCO to Bhanada Village via Agri Farms (12.1 km),66 KV
- 19. Ordered accordingly.,