

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd. Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 16/11/2025

(2024) 02 KL CK 0093

High Court Of Kerala

Case No: Writ Petition (Crl) No. 3 Of 2024

Molly APPELLANT

Vs

State Of Kerala RESPONDENT

Date of Decision: Feb. 12, 2024

Hon'ble Judges: A.Muhamed Mustaque, J; Shoba Annamma Eapen, J

Bench: Division Bench

Advocate: Vinay Ramdas, K.B.Anamika, K.A.Anas

Final Decision: Dismissed

Judgement

A.Muhamed Mustaque (J)

This writ of habeas was filed by the mother of the detenu. This is the 5th detention order of the detenu. The last prejudicial activity of the detenu was

stated to be occurred on 24.05.2023, in which he was the third accused. The defacto complainant was one Devan. It is alleged that the detenu

attacked the defacto complainant by hitting his chest using the detenu's hand. It is also noted that, on the same day, another crime was registered

on a first information given by Sub Inspector of Police, Ollur Police Station, alleging damage to the police vehicle. The Sponsoring Authority filed

report on 13.07.2023. The detention order was passed on 03.08.2023. The detenu was released on 17.06.2023 after the last prejudicial activity. We do

not find that the last crime is significant enough to hold that the detenu is a threat to public order. Here the crime may attract the provisions under the

Kerala Anti-Social Activities (Prevention) Act, (for short "the KAAPAâ€) 2007, but what is to be considered is that whether the role of detenu in

such a crime poses a threat to society at large.

Considering the nature and solitariness of the crime committed by the detenu and the fact that the detenu is being detained successively for the reason

that he is involved in successive crimes, we are of the view that this is not a fit case where KAAPA proceedings have to be invoked by the authority.

The idea under the KAAPA is not to penalize the persons but to ensure that repetition of the offence is prevented. Adverting to the nature of the

case, we are of the view that this is a fit case where the impugned order has to be set aside and we direct the prison authorities to release the detenu

forthwith, if his detention is not otherwise required under law.

The Writ Petition is dismissed accordingly.