

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

Website: www.courtkutchehry.com **Printed For:**

Date: 10/12/2025

(2024) 03 ITAT CK 0085

Income Tax Appellate Tribunal (Delhi A Bench)

Case No: Income Tax Appeal No. 44/DEL/2023

Ahluwalia Contracts India Ltd

APPELLANT

Vs

ACIT RESPONDENT

Date of Decision: March 29, 2024

Acts Referred:

• Income Tax Act, 1961 - Section 143(3), 154, 250

Hon'ble Judges: G.S. Pannu, (VP); Anubhav Sharma, J

Bench: Division Bench

Advocate: K. Sampath, Vishal Sehgal, Kanav Bali

Final Decision: Allowed

Judgement

- 1. This is appeal preferred by the Assessee against the order dated 17.11.2022 of the Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals)-30, New Delhi (hereinafter referred as Ld. First Appellate Authority or in short Ld. 'FAA') in appeal No.10464/2016-17 arising out of the appeal before it against the order dated 20.10.2021 passed u/s. 154 r.w.s 250 and 143(3) of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (hereinafter referred as 'the Act') by the DCIT, Central Circle-31, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Ld. AO).
- 2. The appellant's grievance arises from the order passed under section 154 r.w.s. 143(3) of the Act by the DCIT, Central Circle-31, New Delhi, vide order dated 20.10.2021 wherein the AO has disallowed an amount of Rs.89,90,278/-on account of payment of employee's contribution of EPF/ESI beyond the due date stipulated in the respective Act which has been sustained by the ld.CIT(A) on the basis of the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case Checkmate Services P. Ltd. vs. Commissioner Of Income Tax-I in CA No. 2833/2016 vide order dated 12.10.2022.

- 2. 1 On hearing Id. AR, it comes up that it is only with regard to an amount of Rs.73,03,161/- which was due on 15.02.2017, with date of payment as 16. 02.2017 the appellant is contesting here before the Tribunal. The Id. AR has submitted that the process of deposit with authorities concerned is initiated with generation of a challan and in the case in hand, with regard to this amount, the challan was generated on 16.02.2017 at 11:48:52 hours. Copy of this challan has been placed on record at page 53 of the paper book. The deposit was, thereafter, made but delayed by 11 hours and Ld. AR says that the same was due to technical glitch in the software or internet connectivity. The Id. AR has relied certain judgements mentioned below to submit that if the delay is on technical reasons, the deposit has to be considered to have been made before the due date:-
- (i) FIL India Business & Research Services P. Ltd. vs. DCIT (2023) 154 taxmann.com 251 (Delhi Trib.);
- (ii) Catwalk Worldwide P. Ltd. vs. ACIT (2023) 152 taxmann.com 215 (Mumbai Trib.); and
- (iii) G.D. Foods and Manufacturing (India) P. Ltd. vs. ADIT (2023) 152 taxmann.com 323 (Delhi Trib.)
- 3. The ld. DR has, however, supported the orders of the ld. tax authorities and submitted that when the deposit is made after the due date, there is no difference if the delay is of hours or of days. He submitted that judgments relied are in regard to delayed deposit as last day was holiday.
- 4. We have given thoughtful consideration to the matter on record and the submissions and are of the considered view that the generation of a challan is a stage of initiation of process of deposit and by generation of the challan, intention of deposit is exhibited. If the assessee claims that there was technical glitch due to which the amount could not be deposited immediately, within due time, on generation of challan but got delayed by around eleven hours, the same should have been accepted by ld. Tax authorities, as there was nothing to disbelieve this assertion. We are of considered view that being quasi judicial authorities, the tax authorities below have inherent power to accept a plea of assessee, which otherwise is not against the facts or law, but claimed on rules of prudence and flowing from natural course of events.
- 5. Resultantly we are inclined to allow the grounds. The appeal is allowed with consequential effect to be given by Ld. AO.