

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 24/08/2025

## Kuldeep Singh Vs State Of Haryana & Ors

Court: Supreme Court Of India

Date of Decision: Jan. 31, 2025

Acts Referred: Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 â€" Section 164, 173, 482

Indian Penal Code, 1860 â€" Section 120B, 363, 366, 375, 376, 506

Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 â€" Section 9

Hon'ble Judges: Vikram Nath, J; Prasanna B. Varale, J

Bench: Division Bench

Advocate: Aanchal Jain, Karan Dewan, Karan Sharma

Final Decision: Allowed

## **Judgement**

Vikram Nath, J.

- 1. Leave granted.
- 2. The instant appeal has been preferred against the order dated 22.08.2023 passed by the High Court of Punjab and Haryana in CRM-M-No. 41161

of 2023 wherein the accused-appellant  $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a}$ ,  $-\hat{a}$ ,  $\phi$ s petition under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973  $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a}$ ,  $-\tilde{E}$   $\Phi$ Cr.P.C  $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a}$ ,  $-\hat{a}$ ,  $\phi$ c. seeking quashing of

the FIR No. 148 dated 14.06.2022 under Section 366, 376 and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 ââ,¬ËœIPCââ,¬â,,¢ was dismissed.

3. Brief facts of the matter are that Respondent No. 2 is the complainant and cousin of Respondent No. 3/victim and FIR No. 148 of 2022 was lodged

by him stating that the victim who was working at National Insurance Company and was dropped at her office by the complainant on the morning of

13.06.2022. It was stated in the FIR that she had left her office at around 1.30 p.m. that afternoon and when she did not return, the complainant

feared that she has been abducted by the appellant herein who was alleged to be harassing her for the past few days. Thus, the said FIR was lodged

under Section 366 of IPC against the appellant.

4. Whereas, it was the case of the appellant that the appellant and Respondent No. 3 had married each other on 15.06.2022 as per Sikh rites and

ceremonies against the wishes of the relatives of the Respondent No. 3 and hence, the said FIR has been lodged against him which deserves to be

quashed. The appellant had also stated that post their marriage in view of the opposition by the family members of the Respondent No. 3, the couple

had also filed a protection petition being CRWP No. 5913 of 2022 dated 16.06.2022 before the High Court seeking protection of their life and liberty.

The said relief was granted by the High Court vide order dated 21.06.2022.

5. However, the Respondent No. 3 allegedly returned to her parental home on 31.08.2022 which had also led to the appellant filing a Petition under

Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 before the Family Court seeking restitution of conjugal rights with his legally wedded wife, i.e. the

Respondent No. 3.

6. In the meanwhile, the Respondent No. 3 on 01.09.2022 recorded a statement under section 164 of the Cr.P.C, with the Ld. JMFC raising

allegations of rape against the appellant and also alleged that the marriage has been solemnised forcibly by the appellant. It was further alleged that

mother and brother of the appellant had also assisted the appellant in the commission of said crimes. Accordingly, the names of brother and mother of

the appellant were also added to the FIR along with addition of Sections 363, 120B and 376 of IPC.

7. Accordingly, the Special Investigation Team ââ,¬ËœSITââ,¬â,¢ consisting of Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, Deputy Superintendent of Police-

Crime against Women and Children, Hoshiarpur and Deputy Superintendent of Police-Sub Division City Hoshiarpur had conducted investigation in the

matter and filed an inquiry report. In furtherance of the inquiry report, the police filed the challan dated 01.07.2023 under Section 173 of Cr.P.C.

which stated that from the investigation conducted by SIT, allegations levelled by the victim against Kuldeep Singh regarding kidnapping and

pressurizing her for marriage have not been proved as it was found that the victim has solemnised the marriage with the appellant with her own

consent. It was also found that no role can be attributed to the mother or brother of the appellant and hence they were completely exonerated as no

evidence could be collected against them. As such, Section 366 of IPC was deleted and only Sections 376 and 506 of IPC survived against the

appellant as per the chargesheet.

8. Thereafter, the appellant had preferred CRM-M-No. 41161 of 2023 dated 18.08.2023 before the High Court seeking quashing of FIR No. 148 of

2022 and all consequential proceedings. The High Court, vide the impugned order, had dismissed the appellant  $\tilde{A}$   $\phi$   $\hat{a}$ ,  $\eta$   $\hat{a}$ ,  $\phi$  s petition while holding that the

petition lacked any merit and the matter required evaluation of evidence and adjudication by the Trial Court.

- 9. Aggrieved by the said order, the appellant is before us.
- 10. Before moving forward, we find it relevant to note here that neither Respondent No. 2, i.e. the complainant nor Respondent No. 3, i.e. the victim

has entered appearance before this Court despite sufficient service of notice.

- 11. We have heard the learned counsel for the accused-appellant and Respondent No. 1-State and also perused the material on record.
- 12. It has been submitted by the appellant that he is the legally wedded husband of Respondent No. 3 and therefore no offence under Section 376 of

IPC is made out against him since he is covered under Exception No. 2 appended to Section 375 of IPC. The appellant has also brought to our notice

the written statement dated 01.08.2023 filed by the Respondent No. 3 in the matter of restitution of conjugal rights and highlighted that she has

nowhere made any allegations pertaining to rape against the appellant in the said written statement.

13. It would be relevant to refer to Annexure P-3 which is an order passed by Ld. Single Judge of the Punjab & Haryana High Court in CRWP No.

5913 of 2022 on 21.06.2022 providing protection to the petitioners therein being the appellant and Respondent No. 3. The said petition had been jointly

filed by the appellant and Respondent No. 3 seeking protection from the family members of the Respondent No. 3 as she had married the appellant of

her own free will and volition against the wishes of her family members. Moreover, it may also be noted that in the reply filed by the Respondent No.

3 to the appellant  $\tilde{A}$   $\phi$   $\hat{a}$ ,  $\neg$   $\hat{a}$ ,  $\phi$  s petition for restitution of conjugal rights, she has not made any allegation of rape or marriage by force against the appellant.

Further, the Respondent No. 3 or for that matter Respondent No. 2 despite service of notice, have not come forward to dispute or deny the above

facts.

14. Importantly, it must be noted that the case as of now, after the filing of chargesheet, survives only to the extent of allegations under Sections 376

and 506 of IPC as it was established during the inquiry that the victim had solemnised the marriage with the appellant out of her own free will.

15. In this regard, it has been rightly pointed out by the appellant that as per Exception 2 under Section 375 of IPC, sexual intercourse by a man with

his own wife cannot be termed as rape and, hence, a charge under Section 376 of IPC cannot be sustained against the appellant. Further, the conduct

of the Respondent No. 2 and 3 in failing to enter appearance despite sufficient notice is reflective of the fact that it is a dead case where no purpose

shall be served in continuing the criminal proceedings alleging charges of rape against the appellant.

16. As such, given the facts and circumstances of the case, it is evident that no prima facie case constituting any offence is made out against the

appellant and he is entitled to the relief sought.

17. Accordingly, the appeal is allowed and the impugned order of the High Court is set aside. The impugned FIR No. 148 of 2022 dated 14.06.2022

filed before the P.S. Model Town, Hoshiarpur, Punjab against the appellant and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom deserve to be

quashed.

18. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.