

(2027) 12 SHI CK 0001

Himachal Pradesh HC

Case No: Civil Revision No. 164 Of 2022

Union of India

APPELLANT

Vs

Tek Ram and Ors

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision: Dec. 16, 2027

Hon'ble Judges: Satyen Vaidya, J

Bench: Single Bench

Advocate: Shiv Pal Manhas, Maan Singh, P.K. Nadda

Final Decision: Dismissed

Judgement

Satyen Vaidya, J

1. Heard.

2. This petition has arisen from the order passed by the learned Executing Court rejecting the objection of the petitioner as to its liability to pay interest on the amount of solatium.

3. The respondents in this petition were awarded compensation under the Land Acquisition Act. Award was passed by the learned Land Acquisition Collector against which reference petition was filed by the respondents.

4. After pronouncement of award by the reference Court, since the awarded amount was not being deposited by the petitioner herein, the respondents had filed execution petition. In such objection raised by the petitioner herein was that it was not liable to pay the interest on the solatium. Learned executing Court vide impugned order rejected the objection raised by the petitioner herein.

5. I find no illegality or perversity in the impugned orders as the interest on solatium has been held to be payable in *Sunder S n h vs. Union of India*, (2001) 7 SCC 211. In this view of the matter, there is no merit in this petition. Even otherwise also, the petitioner cannot be granted any relief as the same contention raised by them in an identical matter arising out of the same acquisition proceedings in CMPMO No. 4181

of 2010 stands dispelled and rejected by this Court vide judgment dated 24.11.2010 and later followed vide judgment dated 21.03 2025, passed by this Court in Civil Revision No.80 of 2022 and other connected matters.

6. In result, there is no merit in the petition and the same is accordingly dismissed. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.