

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd. **Website:** www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 31/10/2025

(2011) 08 P&H CK 0194

High Court Of Punjab And Haryana At Chandigarh

Case No: CRM-M-20446 of 2011

Manpreet Singh APPELLANT

Vs

State of Punjab RESPONDENT

Date of Decision: Aug. 18, 2011

Acts Referred:

Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 (CrPC) â€" Section 319#Penal Code, 1860 (IPC) â€" Section

307, 34, 427, 452

Citation: (2011) 08 P&H CK 0194

Hon'ble Judges: Rakesh Kumar Jain, J

Bench: Single Bench

Judgement

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.

This order shall dispose of two petitions namely, CRM-M-20446 of 2011 titled as ""Manpreet Singh Versus State

of Punjab"" and CRM-M-20566 of 2011 titled as ""Dalveer Singh @ Preet Versus State of Punjab"" as in both the petitions the petitioners have

prayed for bail in a pending trial case registered vide FIR No. 38 dated 18.7.2010 under Sections 307, 452, 427/34 of the Indian Penal Code,

1860 (for short "IPC") at Police Station Canal Colony, District Bhatinda.

2. It is submitted by both the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are in custody since 17.7.2010 and material witnesses of the

prosecution namely, Dr. Satish Kumar (PW1) and Seema Rani (PW2) have already been examined. It is further submitted that the trial is not

making any headway as proclaimed offender proceedings are going on in respect of Vijay Kumar, who was summoned on an application filed u/s

319 of the Cr.P.C. by the prosecution.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State has submitted that out of seven witnesses two witnesses have already been examined and the

case is now fixed for 30.8.2011 for appearance of Vijay Kumar (3rd accused).

4. Both the learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions received from their clients, stated that neither the petitioners are previous convict nor

any other case is pending against them.

- 5. Learned counsel for the State has showed his inability to make any statement at this juncture.
- 6. After hearing learned counsel for the parties but without making any observation on the merits, both the petitions namely, CRM-M-20446 of

2011 titled as ""Manpreet Singh Versus State of Punjab" and CRM-M-20566 of 2011 titled as ""Dalveer Singh @ Preet Versus State of Punjab

are hereby allowed and petitioners are directed to be released on bail on their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trail Court. Liberty is,

however, granted to the State counsel to file an application for cancellation of bail in case statement made by both the learned counsel for the

petitioners that neither the petitioners are previous convict nor any other case is pending against them is found to be false.

7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of another connected case.