

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

**Printed For:** 

Date: 27/10/2025

## Ramesh Kumar Dahiya Vs Charan Singh

F.A.O. No. 2231 of 1998

Court: High Court Of Punjab And Haryana At Chandigarh

Date of Decision: Feb. 24, 2001

**Acts Referred:** 

Civil Procedure Code, 1908 (CPC) â€" Order 17 Rule 3

Citation: (2001) 3 CivCC 485: (2001) 3 RCR(Civil) 647

Hon'ble Judges: V.S. Aggarwal, J; A.S. Gill, J

Bench: Division Bench

Advocate: Ms. Saloni Sharma, for the Appellant; Mr. N.K. Khosla, for the Respondent

Final Decision: Allowed

## **Judgement**

A.S. Gill, J.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The grievance of the appellant against the order of the Tribunal in brief is that despite the fact that the appellant was bedridden, the case was

closed for want of evidence and the claim petition was dismissed.

3. We have perused the record as well as the order of the Tribunal. It is a fit case where the appellant should have been granted another

opportunity for producing evidence. With this observation, the appeal is allowed and the case is remanded to the tribunal to be taken up at the

stage it was dismissed allowing one more opportunity to the appellant to produce his entire evidence on his own responsibility. The parties, through

their counsel, are directed to appear before the Tribunal on 26.3.2001.

4. Appeal allowed.