

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 24/08/2025

Jasbir Singh and Another Vs Punjab Wakf Board

Court: High Court Of Punjab And Haryana At Chandigarh

Date of Decision: Dec. 2, 2010

Acts Referred: Constitution of India, 1950 â€" Article 227

Waqf Act, 1995 â€" Section 83(9)

Hon'ble Judges: L.N.Mittal, J

Bench: Single Bench
Final Decision: Allowed

Judgement

L.N.Mittal, J.

Amended memo of parties already filed, pursuant to order of the preceding date, is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

2. Respondent No. 1 Punjab Wakf Board filed suit for possession against the Petitioners and proforma Respondents. The said suit has been

decreed by learned Additional District Judge, Moga (apparently as Wakf Tribunal) vide impugned judgment and decree dated 01.02.2008. Instant

revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 83(9) of the Wakf Act, 1995 (in short, the Act) has been

preferred by Jasbir Singh and Bohar Singh who were Defendants No. 1 and 2 before the Wakf Tribunal.

- 3. I have heard learned Counsel for the parties and perused the case file.
- 4. Learned Counsel for the parties concede that in view of judgment of Hon"ble Supreme Court in the case Ramesh Gobindram (dead) through L

Rs v. Sugra Humayun Mirza Wakf 2010(2) RCR 266, Wakf Tribunal constituted under the Act had no jurisdiction to try the suit for possession of

the suit land instituted by Respondent No. 1-Plaintiff Punjab Wakf Board. There is considerable merit in the submission. In view of law laid down

by Hon"ble Supreme Court in the case of Ramesh Gobindram (supra), there is no escape from the conclusion that Wakf Tribunal had no

jurisdiction to try the suit instituted by Respondent No. 1-Plaintiff.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the instant revision petition is allowed and impugned judgment and decree Annexure P-3 passed by learned Additional

District Judge, Moga are set aside and plaint instituted by Respondent No. 1-Plaintiff is ordered to be returned to it for presentation before Court

of competent jurisdiction. Respondent No. 1 Plaintiff is directed to appear before learned Additional District Judge/Wakf Tribunal, Moga on

18.01.2011 to receive back the plaint.