

Kamaljit Singh Vs State of Punjab and others

Court: High Court Of Punjab And Haryana At Chandigarh

Date of Decision: Nov. 20, 2009

Acts Referred: Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 (CrPC) â€” Section 482

Citation: (2010) 2 RCR(Criminal) 331

Hon'ble Judges: L.N. Mittal, J

Bench: Single Bench

Advocate: Balram Singh, for the Appellant; Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, AAG, Punjab, for the Respondent

Final Decision: Allowed

Judgement

L.N. Mittal, J.

Criminal Misc. No. 58777 of 2009

1. Reply (rejoinder) annexed with the application is taken on record along with Annexures P/6 and P/7, subject to all just exceptions.

Criminal Misc. No. M-12326 of 2008

2. Reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 filed today by learned State counsel is taken on record. Copy given to the opposite counsel.

3. Kamaljit Singh has filed this petition u/s 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, Cr.P.C.) for quashing of FIR No. 137 dated 1.5.2007,

u/s 61 of Excise Act, Police Station Maqsuda, District Jalandhar (Annexure P/5).

4. According to the prosecution version, FIR was registered on the basis of secret information. On raid on 1.5.2007, three cartons of country

made liquor were recovered from the car of the petitioner and 11 cartons of liquor were recovered from the shop of the petitioner.

5. The petitioner was licensee of liquor vend for the year 2006-07 vide licence Annexure P/1 i.e. from 1.4.2006 to 31.3.2007. The said licence

was extended upto 30.4.2007 vide Annexure P/2.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner vehemently contended that in view of section 61(A) of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 (in short, the Act),

possession of liquor was no longer offence and only penalty could be imposed for the same. There is considerable merit in the contention. Section

61(A) was inserted in the Act vide Amendment Act No. 32 of 2006 notified on 25.8.2006 and so the said section was operative when the

impugned FIR was registered. Section 61(A) of the Act is reproduced herein :-

61-A. Penalty for offences not triable by a court.-

(1) Whosoever, in contravention of any provision of this Act, the rules framed thereunder, any notification issued or any order made or any license,

permit or pass granted under this Act, imports, exports, transports, or possesses any liquor, shall alongwith liquor and means of transport, except

the passenger buses, owned by the Central Government or the State Government or any of their undertaking, be detained by an Excise Officer,

who shall make a report to the Assistant Excise and Taxation Commissioner, in-charge of the district and to the Deputy Excise and Taxation

Commissioner, in-charge of the Division, within a period of twenty-four hours of such detention. The Excise Officer shall forward such liquor and

the means of transport along with the necessary documents to the Deputy Excise and Taxation Commissioner in-charge of the District. (2) On the

receipt of the report referred to in sub-section (1), the Deputy Excise and Taxation Commissioner in-charge of the Division, shall record the

statements of the offender and the officers, concerned with the case, and release the offender. (3) The Assistant Excise and Taxation

Commissioner in-charge of the district, may impose the following penalties after providing the offender a reasonable opportunity of being heard in

case a liquor detained is licit, on which the excise duty and other levies have been paid in the State of Punjab, namely :-

(a) rupees five thousand in case of detection of such liquor upto two cases i.e. 18 bulk litres; and

(b) rupees ten thousand in case of detection of such liquor exceeding more than two cases i.e. 18 bulk litres.

(4) The Assistant Excise and Taxation Commissioner in-charge of the district, may confiscate the illicit liquor and may also impose the following

penalties after providing the offender a reasonable opportunity of being heard in case the liquor detained is illicit, on which the excise duty and

other levies have not been paid in the State of Punjab, namely:-

(a) rupees twenty five thousand in case of detection of such liquor up to five cases i.e. 45 bulk litres;

(b) rupees fifty thousand in case of detection of such liquor exceeding five cases i.e. 45 bulk litres, but not exceeding fifty cases i.e. 450 bulk litres;

and

(c) rupees one lac in case of detection of such liquor exceeding fifty cases i.e. 450 bulk litres.

(5) If the penalty referred to in sub-section (4), is not paid within a period of one week from the date of the order, then the Deputy Excise and

Taxation Commissioner in-charge of the Division shall pass a speaking order of confiscation of the means of transport and the liquor after giving an

opportunity of being heard to the offender and the owner of the means of transport. In case the owners of the liquor and the means of transport are

not available, then the order for confiscation may be passed by the Deputy Excise and Taxation Commissioner incharge of the Division by

affording an opportunity of being heard to the offender, who has been caught with the goods. The confiscated liquor shall thereafter, be destroyed

under the supervision of the Deputy Excise and Taxation Commissioner in-charge of the Division and an authenticated copy of the said order shall

be supplied to the affected person free of cost.

(6) The Deputy Excise and Taxation Commissioner in-charge of the Division, shall put to auction the confiscated means of transport with a period

of thirty days from the date of the order of confiscation passed under sub-section (5). The amount received from auction after deducting the

expenditure incurred on it, shall be adjusted towards the payment of penalty by the owner. The unrecovered amount of penalty, if any, shall be

recoverable as arrears of land revenue.

7. Perusal of the aforesaid provision reveals that if a person is found in possession of liquor then penalty can be imposed on him in accordance with

the provisions contained in section 61(A) of the Act. Possession of liquor is no longer an offence in Punjab in view of insertion of section 61(A) in

the Act.

8. Learned State counsel contended that the petitioner is guilty of offence punishable u/s 61(2) of the Act. The said provision is reproduced herein

for proper appreciation :-

61(2) Penalty for unlawful import, export, transport, manufacture, possession, sale etc.- Whoever in contravention of any section other than

Sections 29 and 30 of this Act or of any rule, notification issued or given there under or order made or of any license, permit or pass granted under

this Act

(a) sells any intoxicants; or

(b) cultivates the hemp plant; or

(c) removes any intoxicant from any distillery, brewery or

warehouse established or licensed under this Act; or

(d) bottles any liquor for the purposes of sale; or

(e) tapes or draws tari from any tari producing tree, shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term which may extend to two years and fine

which may extend to twenty five rupees.

9. Perusal of the aforesaid provision reveals that possession of liquor is not punishable under this provision. It is not the allegation of the

prosecution that the petitioner had sold any intoxicant. Consequently, the petitioner is not covered by clause (a) of section 61(2) of the Act. The

petitioner was merely found in possession of liquor which is categorically covered by section 61(A)(1) of the Act.

10. Learned State counsel also referred to clause (c) of section 61 (2) of the Act. However, the said provision refers to removal of any intoxicant

from any distillery, brewery or warehouse established or licenced under the Act. However, even according to the prosecution version, the

petitioner had not removed the liquor in question from any distillery, brewery or warehouse. Consequently, the act of the petitioner is not covered

by clause (c) of section 61(2) of the Act either.

11. In view of the aforesaid, the instant petition is allowed and the impugned FIR No. 137 dated 1.5.2007, u/s 61 Excise Act, Police Station

Maqsuda, District Jalandhar (Annexure P/5) is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom. However, this is without

prejudice to the right of the competent authority to proceed u/s 61(A) of the Act.

The amount of Rs. 52,290/- seized from the car of the petitioner be released to the petitioner.