

**Company:** Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

**Printed For:** 

**Date:** 16/12/2025

## (2014) 07 P&H CK 0549

## High Court Of Punjab And Haryana At Chandigarh

Case No: Civil Writ Petition No. 14409 of 2014

Jatinder Singh APPELLANT

۷s

Union of India RESPONDENT

Date of Decision: July 24, 2014

**Acts Referred:** 

• Land Acquisition Act, 1894 - Section 23, 28

Hon'ble Judges: Surya Kant, J; Lisa Gill, J

Bench: Division Bench

**Advocate:** Amit Gupta, Advocate for the Appellant; Jaspal K. Gurna, Central Government

Standing, P.S. Bajwa, Addl. AG and Rishi Kaushal, Advocate for the Respondent

Final Decision: Disposed Off

## Judgement

## @JUDGMENTTAG-ORDER

Surya Kant, J.

Notice of motion.

On our asking, Ms. Jaspal K. Gurna, Central Government Standing Counsel, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1; Mr. P.S. Bajwa, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, for respondent No. 2 and Mr. Rishi Kaushal, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has handed-over two copies of the petition to each learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1 and 3.

- 2. In view of the nature of order which we propose to pass, no reply-affidavit is required to be filed by the respondents.
- 3. The petitioners are residents of village Bariar, Tehsil and District Gurdaspur. Their land, as described in para No. 2 of the writ petition, has been acquired by respondent Nos. 1 & 3 under the National Highways Act, 1956 (hereinafter referred

to as "1956 Act").

- 4. The Land Acquisition Collector/Competent Authority, Gurdaspur, passed the Awards on 12.11.2010 and 12.10.2011.
- 5. The petitioners" main grievance is that while assessing the compensation, the benefit of Sections 23 and 28 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as "1894 Act"), namely, solatium and interest was not granted to them despite the fact that this Court in M/s. Golden Iron and Steel Forging Vs. Union of India and others, has categorically held that even in the case of acquisition under the National Highways Act, 1956, the above mentioned two statutory benefits are equally admissible to the affected land-owners. The petitioners also rely upon two decisions of this Court, dated 27.9.2012 passed in CWP No. 7457 of 2012 (Bhag Singh and another versus Commissioner, Jalandhar Division and others) and dated 27.9.2012 passed in CWP No. 14642 of 2012 (Prem Kaur versus Union of India and others) whereby the benefit of solatium and interest in terms of the above-cited decision of this Court, has been extended to the land-owners whose lands were also acquired alongwith that of the petitioners.
- 6. Another grievance of the petitioners is that besides submission of applications etc., they are running from pillar to post before the officers of respondent No. 3-National Highways Authority for the release of above-mentioned benefits but the same are withheld only on the plea that no directions have been given by this Court in their case(s). The aggrieved petitioners have now approached this Court.
- 7. We have heard learned counsel for the parties at some length and gone through the record.
- 8. The principles laid down by this Court in Golden Iron and Steel Forgings"s case (supra), are not in dispute. Similarly, the fact that the benefit of solatium and interest has been extended by this Court to the land-owners of same acquisition vide order dated 27.09.2012 in Bhag Singh"s case (supra), can also be hardly disputed. In these circumstances, we are of the view that it is imperative upon respondent Nos. 1 & 2 to consider the petitioners" claim for the grant of solatium and interest in accordance with the decision of this Court in Golden Iron and Steel Forgings"s case (supra).
- 9. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of in the following terms:-
- (i) The petitioners shall move an application before the notified Competent Authority-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, within a period of one month for the grant of afore-said benefits;
- (ii) The said Competent Authority will issue notice and call for the records/reply from the National Highway Authority of India;

- (iii) The Competent Authority shall thereafter determine whether or not the petitioner(s) is/are entitled to the aforesaid benefits, especially in view the decisions of this Court and the Supreme Court relied upon by the claimants;
- (iv) If the petitioner is found entitled to, a self-speaking supplementary award to this effect shall be passed, within a period of four months from the date of filing of the application;
- (v) National Highways Authority of India shall be required to disburse the additional amount as per the supplementary Award within a period of two months from the date of passing of the supplementary Award subject to its right to challenge the same before appropriate forum.
- 10. Disposed of.