

**(2014) 05 P&H CK 0468**

**High Court Of Punjab And Haryana At Chandigarh**

**Case No:** Civil Writ Petition No. 10039 of 2014

Ranbir Singh and Others

APPELLANT

Vs

Union of India and Others

RESPONDENT

---

**Date of Decision:** May 22, 2014

**Acts Referred:**

- Land Acquisition Act, 1894 - Section 23, 28

**Citation:** (2014) 4 RCR(Criminal) 553

**Hon'ble Judges:** Surya Kant, J; Lisa Gill, J

**Bench:** Division Bench

**Advocate:** Kewal Krishan, Advocate for Mr. Premjit Kalia, Advocate for the Appellant; Jaspal K. Gurna, Central Government Standing Counsel for Respondent No. 1, Mr. Rishi Kaushal, Advocate for Respondent No. 2 and Mr. P.S. Bajwa, Addl. AG, Punjab for Respondent No. 3, Advocate for the Respondent

**Final Decision:** Disposed Off

---

**Judgement**

Surya Kant, J.

Notice of motion.

On our asking, Mrs. Jaspal K. Gurna, Central Government Standing Counsel, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1; Mr. Rishi Kaushal, Advocate, for respondent No. 2 and Mr. P.S. Bajwa, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has handed-over two copies of the petition to each learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

2. In view of the nature of order which we propose to pass, no reply-affidavit is required to be filed by the respondents.

3. The petitioners are residents of village Mudhal, Tehsil and District Amritsar. Their land situated within the revenue estate of village Mudhal, Tehsil and District

Amritsar, has been acquired by respondent Nos. 1 & 2 under the National Highways Act, 1956 (hereinafter referred to as "1956 Act").

4. The awards were passed on 2.3.2010 and 9.11.2012 by the Land Acquisition Collector-I, Amritsar.

5. The petitioners' main grievance is that while assessing the compensation, the benefit of Sections 23 and 28 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as "1894 Act"), namely, solatium and interest was not granted to them despite the fact that this Court in [M/s. Golden Iron and Steel Forging Vs. Union of India and others,](#) has categorically held that even in the case of acquisition under the National Highways Act, 1956, the above mentioned two statutory benefits are equally admissible to the affected land-owners. The petitioners also rely upon two decisions of this Court, dated 27.9.2012 passed in CWP No. 7457 of 2012 (Bhag Singh and another versus Commissioner, Jalandhar Division and others) and dated 27.9.2012 passed in CWP No. 14642 of 2012 (Prem Kaur versus Union of India and others) whereby the benefit of solatium and interest in terms of the above-cited decision of this Court, has been extended to the land-owners whose lands were also acquired alongwith that of the petitioners.

6. Another grievance of the petitioners is that besides submission of applications etc., they are running from pillar to post before the officers of respondent No. 2-National Highways Authority for the release of above-mentioned benefits but the same are withheld only on the plea that no directions have been given by this Court in their case(s). The aggrieved petitioners have now approached this Court.

7. We have heard learned counsel for the parties at some length and gone through the record.

8. The principles laid down by this Court in Golden Iron and Steel Forgings's case (supra), are not in dispute. Similarly, the fact that the benefit of solatium and interest has been extended by this Court to the land-owners of same acquisition vide order dated 27.09.2012 in Bhag Singh's case (supra), can also be hardly disputed. In these circumstances, we are of the view that it is imperative upon respondent Nos. 1 & 2 to consider the petitioners' claim for the grant of solatium and interest in accordance with the decision of this Court in Golden Iron and Steel Forgings's case (supra).

9. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3 that let this petition be treated as application on behalf of the petitioners under Sections 23 and 28 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 read with National Highways Act, 1956 and their claim regarding grant of solatium and interest be determined within a period of three months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. The amount found due shall then be released by respondent Nos. 1 & 2 within a period of one month thereafter.

Dasti.