

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 24/08/2025

## HPSEB and Others Vs Presiding Officer, Labour Court and Others <BR> The Managing Director, HPFC and Another Vs Van Nigam Karamchari Sangh <BR> HP Housing Board and Others Vs Lal Singh and Others

Court: High Court of Himachal Pradesh

Date of Decision: April 6, 2010

Acts Referred: Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 â€" Section 10, 20, 33, 7, 8

Payment of Bonus Act, 1965 â€" Section 10, 2, 20, 22, 33C

Hon'ble Judges: Kurian Joseph, C.J; R.B. Misra, J

Bench: Division Bench

## **Judgement**

Kurian Joseph, C.J.

The issue raised in these writ petitions pertains to the claim made by the daily waged workers in the H.P. State

Electricity Board and H.P. State Forest Corporation and similar public sector undertakings. It appears the workers had straightaway approached

the Labour Court u/s 33(C)(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act and the Labour Court had allowed those applications. The public sector undertakings

challenged the same before this Court. Except in two cases, where there were disputes on facts, the writ petitions were dismissed and in those two

cases i.e. CWP No. 544 of 1993 and CWP No. 647 of 1998, it was made clear that it will be open to the workmen concerned to vindicate their

grievances in appropriate forum. The public sector undertakings pursued the matter before the Supreme Court leading to the judgment dated 5th

March, 2008 in Civil Appeal No. 7056 to 7065 of 2001. The judgment of this Court was set aside and the matters were remanded to this Court

to decide the following three aspects:

- 1. The applicability of Section 33(C)(2) of the Act;
- 2. Jurisdiction of the Labour Court to decide the matter; and
- 3. Applicability of Bonus Act to the daily wagers.
- 1). The applicability of Section 33(C)(2) of the Act;
- 2. As far as the applicability of Section 33(C)(2) of the Act is concerned, no doubt, the Section provides for computation of an existing benefit.

The Section reads as follows:

Where any workman is entitled to receive from the employer any money or any benefit which is capable of being computed in terms of money and

if any question arises as to the amount of money due or as to the amount at which such benefit should be computed, then the question may, subject

to any rules that may be made under this Act, be decided by such Labour Court as may be specified in this behalf by the appropriate Government

[within a period not exceeding three months]

Provided that where the presiding officer of a Labour Court considers it necessary or expedient so to do, he may, for reasons to be recorded in

writing, extend such period by such further period as he may think fit.]

3. Section 8 of the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965 provides for eligibility for bonus, which reads as follows:

Every employee shall be entitled to be paid by his employer in an accounting year, bonus, in accordance with the provisions of this Act, provided

he has worked in the establishment for not less than thirty working days in that year.

4. Employee is defined u/s 2(13) of the Act which reads as follows:

ï¿Â½Employeeï¿Â½ means any person (other than an apprentice) employed on a salary or wage not exceeding [three thousand and five hundred

rupees] per mensem in any industry to do any skilled or unskilled, manual, supervisory, managerial, administrative, technical or clerical work for

hire or reward, whether the terms of employment be express or implied;

5. Section 10 provides for payment of minimum bonus which reads as follows:

Subject to the other provisions of this Act, every employer shall be bound to pay to every employee in respect of the accounting year commencing

on any day in the year 1979 and in respect of every subsequent accounting year, a minimum bonus which shall be 8.33 per cent of the salary or

wage earned by the employee during the accounting year or one hundred rupees, whichever is higher, whether or not the employer has any

allocable surplus in the accounting year:

Provided that where an employee has not completed fifteen years of age at the beginning of the accounting year, the provisions of this section shall

have effect in relation to such employee as if for the words  $\tilde{A}^-\hat{A}_{\dot{c}}\hat{A}'_{\dot{c}}$  one hundred rupees  $\tilde{A}^-\hat{A}_{\dot{c}}\hat{A}'_{\dot{c}}$ , the words  $\tilde{A}^-\hat{A}_{\dot{c}}\hat{A}'_{\dot{c}}$  ixty rupees  $\tilde{A}^-\hat{A}_{\dot{c}}\hat{A}'_{\dot{c}}$  were substituted.

6. The expression  $\tilde{A}^-\hat{A}_{\dot{c}}\hat{A}'_{\dot{c}}$  salary  $\tilde{A}^-\hat{A}_{\dot{c}}\hat{A}'_{\dot{c}}$  or  $\tilde{A}^-\hat{A}_{\dot{c}}\hat{A}'_{\dot{c}}$  wage  $\tilde{A}^-\hat{A}_{\dot{c}}\hat{A}'_{\dot{c}}$  is defined u/s 2(21) of the Act which reads as follows:

Salary or wage  $\tilde{A}^-\hat{A}_{\dot{c}}\hat{A}_{\dot{c}}$  means all remuneration (other than remuneration in respect of over-time work) capable of being expressed in terms of money,

which would, if the terms of employment, express or implied, were fulfilled, be payable to an employee in respect of his employment or of work

done in such employment and includes dearness allowance (that is to say, all cash payments, by whatever name called, paid to an employee on

account of a rise in the cost of living), but does not include

- (i) any other allowance which the employee is for the time being entitled to;
- (ii) the value of any house accommodation or of supply of light, water, medical attendance or other amenity or of any service or of any

concessional supply of foodgrains or other articles;

- (iii) Any travelling concession;
- (iv) Any bonus (including incentive, production and attendance bonus);
- (v) Any contribution paid or payable by the employer to any pension fund or provident fund or for the benefit of the employee under any law for

the time being in force;

- (vi) Any retrenchment compensation or any gratuity or other retirement benefit payable to the employee or any ex gratia payment made to him;
- (vii) Any commission payable to the employee.

Explanation:-Where an employee is given in lieu of the whole or part of the salary or wage payable to him, free food allowance or free food by his

employer, such food allowance or the value of such food shall, for the purpose of this clause, be deemed to form part of the salary or wage of such

employee.

- 7. Section 20 provides for the application of Act to establishments in public sector which reads as follows:
- (1) If in any accounting year an establishment in public sector sells any goods produced or manufactured by it or renders any services, in

competition with an establishment in private sector, and the income from such sale or services or both is not less than twenty per cent of the gross

income of the establishment in public sector for that year, then, the provisions of this Act shall apply in relation to such establishment in public

sector as they apply in relation to a like establishment in private sector.

- (2) Save as otherwise provided in Sub-section (1), nothing in this Act shall apply to the employees employed by any establishment in public sector.
- 8. The provisions, as extracted above, would clearly show that the payment of Bonus Act 1965 does not make any difference as to whether an

employee is a temporary, ad-hoc, permanent, daily wager etc. The only pre-condition for entitlement is work in the establishment for not less than

30 days in that year. Of course, the question as to whether the Act would apply to a public sector undertaking in terms of Section 20, is a matter

to be examined with reference to the factual matrix. The contention of learned Counsel appearing for the writ petitioners is that whenever there is

any dispute with regard to the bonus payable under the Act between the employer and employee, the same has to be referred as per Section 22 of

the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965.

9. Section 22 reads as follows:

Where any dispute arises between an employer and his employees with respect to the bonus payable under this Act or with respect to the

application of this Act to an establishment in public sector, then, such dispute shall be deemed to be an industrial dispute within the meaning of the

Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), or of any corresponding law relating to investigation and settlement of industrial disputes in force in a

State and the provisions of that Act or, as the case may be, such law, shall, save as otherwise expressly provided, apply accordingly.

10. It has to be seen that the dispute between the employer and employee pertains only to the rate of bonus payable under the Act and the

application of the Act. Therefore, it is clear that subject to the applicability of the Payment of Bonus Act and eligibility u/s 8 thereof, bonus is a

benefit capable of being computed u/s 33(C)(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act. Since the main defence taken by the public sector undertakings

before the Labour Court is that the workmen concerned are not entitled to bonus only on the ground of being daily waged workers, we do not

think that it is a fit case for reference since nothing survives for adjudication regarding the payability of the bonus under the Act.

- 2). Jurisdiction of the Labour Courts:
- 11. Labour Court is empowered u/s 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act for adjudication of the Industrial Disputes relating to matters specified in the

second schedule and for performing such other functions as may be assigned to them under the Act. The second schedule item 6 reads as follows:

All matters other than those specified in the third schedule.

12. Item 5 of the third schedule reads as follows:

Bonus, provident fund and gratuity.

13. Industrial Tribunals are established u/s 7(a) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for the adjudication of the Industrial disputes relating to any

matter whether specified in the second schedule or third schedule. In view of the specific provision under third schedule dealing with the bonus, it is

clear that the jurisdiction to decide the disputes pertaining to the bonus rests only with the Industrial Tribunals unless specifically and duly assigned

such functions to the Labour Courts.

3). Applicability of Bonus Act to the daily wagers:

14. As we have already held above, the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965 does not make any distinction as to whether an employee is daily wager,

temporary, permanent, weekly paid, monthly paid etc. The only pre-condition is that he should have worked in the establishment for not less than

30 working days in an accounting year. Subject to the above conditions, an employee is entitled to paid by his employer the bonus as provided

under the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965 in case the establishment is covered under the Act.

15. We find that the employees concerned had not made any claim before the employers so as to give an opportunity to the employer concerned

to examine the factual position either with regard to the entitlement u/s 8 or with regard to the applicability of the Payment of Bonus Act u/s 20.

These questions are not addressed before the Labour Court also. We are informed that in the State of H.P., Labour Court has been notified as

Industrial Tribunal as well. Be that as it may, since the workmen concerned have started their litigation in the year 1993, we do not think that

another round of adjudication before the Industrial Tribunal should be called for in the instant cases.

16. The interest of justice would be met if the employer concerned is directed to examine the claim made by the respective workman in the light of

the observations contained in this judgment and do the needful, particularly since we are informed that the bonus had been paid long back.

Therefore, these writ petitions are disposed of as follows.

17. The employers will examine the cases in the light of the observations contained in this judgment and in case it is found that the Payment of

Bonus Act applies to the establishment concerned and that the employee has satisfied the eligibility u/s 8 of Payment of Bonus Act, the claim for

minimum bonus u/s 10 of the Act shall be decided and the benefits, if any, found eligible shall be disbursed, if not already disbursed within another

two months. In case any employee is found ineligible he shall be issued notice before passing final orders.

18. In view of the judgment as above, the orders passed by the Labour Court and impugned in these writ petitions are set aside.