

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 24/08/2025

## Misri Kunwar Vs Sham Lal and Another, Ram Sarup

Court: Allahabad High Court

Date of Decision: March 5, 1907

Citation: (1907) ILR (All) 426

Hon'ble Judges: John Stanley, C.J; William Burkitt, J

Bench: Division Bench

Final Decision: Disposed Of

## **Judgement**

John Stanley, C.J. and William Burkitt, J.

This appeal and the connected appeal No. 99 of 1905, arise out of suits instituted by the plaintiff

Musammat Misri Kunwar for a determination of her rights as to certain property. In the plaint she claimed a declaration that she was in possession

of the property in dispute under a partition, but that if the Court found that she was out of possession then that possession might be awarded to

her. In the progress of litigation the parties agreed to refer their disputes to the arbitration of one Moti Ram, who is connected with the parties. On

or about the 6th of January 1905, the Court received what purports to be an award. Notification of the award was given to the parties, whereupon

the defendant Sham Lal filed an objection to the alleged award, stating in his objection that the arbitrator did not investigate the subject-matter of

the arbitration; that he did not record any award, but repeatedly asked him (the objector) to have the matter in dispute compromised, and refused

to decide the case as arbitrator. He prayed that the, award might be set aside. The Court, however, did not entertain the objection, but passed a

decree upon the award, holding that it was a valid and binding award. The appellants now appeal from this decree, and allege that there was in fact

no legal award, made by the arbitrator, and that the arbitrator was guilty of such misconduct as justified them in applying to the Court to have the

award set aside.

2. The arbitrator was examined, and he bears out fully the allegations of the appellants. It appears from his deposition that he was desirous that the

parties should amicably settle their differences, and in order to compel them to do so he prepared two awards, one favourable to the plaintiff and

the other favourable to the defendants, and that having these awards ready he used them to coerce the parties into a compromise. In his evidence

he says: ""I did not make any award in the presence of the parties on the 31st of December 1904. The award now before the Court was in my bag:

but I did not intend to make it. It was only to threaten the parties that I kept in my bag this award and also another of an entirely contrary nature.

Then he says that these two awards were in the handwriting of his grandson Janki. The evidence given by Moti Ram is very meagre and it is

noticeable that it does not appear from it how the award came to be filed in Court. Mr. O"Conor on behalf of the appellants suggests that the

document was abstracted from Moti Ram"s bag, but there is no evidence to support this suggestion. It is particularly unfortunate that Moti Ram

was not subjected to more severe cross-examination, or even to a more lengthy examination-in-chief, and that the Court did not put to him some

pertinent questions in regard to the remarkable evidence which he gave. Be this as it may, however, the fact remains that Moti Ram himself

repudiates the idea that the award upon which the decree has been based, was a genuine award made or published by him. He shows by his own

evidence that it was not a genuine award and was not intended to be used as such, but was simply drafted with a view to compel the parties to

come to terms. From his own evidence it is apparent that he has been guilty of grave misconduct, and in view of his misconduct and of the

evidence it is clear that the Court ought not to have passed a decree as it did upon this so-called award. We, therefore, allow the appeal, set aside

the decree of the Court below and direct the learned Subordinate Judge to reinstate the suit in the file of pending suits and dispose of it according

to law. Costs here and hitherto will abide the event.