

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd. Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 17/11/2025

(2011) 03 AHC CK 0198

Allahabad High Court

Case No: None

Ajit Kumar Pandey

and Others

APPELLANT

Vs

State of U.P.And

Another

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision: March 30, 2011 Hon'ble Judges: Ravindra Singh, J

Final Decision: Disposed Of

Judgement

Ravindra Singh,J.

Heard learned counsel for the applicants, Sri Saurabh Srivastava, learned counsel appearing on behalf of O.P.No.2 and learned A.G.A.

This application has been filed with a prayer to quash the proceedings of Complaint Case No. 2736 of 2009 under sections 628, 629, 629A, 113, 193, 209(5), 211, 219, 286 of the Companies Act and under sections 406, 467, 468, 471 abd 120B I.P.C., pending in the court of Special Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kanpur Nagar.

It is contended by learned counsel for the applicants that in the present case, complaint has been filed after recording the statement under section 200 Cr.P.C., the learned Magistrate concerned came to the conclusion that for the purpose of statement under section 202 Cr.P.C., the OfficerinCharge of the Police Station Concerned may be appointed, consequently, the Officer in charge of the Police Station concerned was appointed to record the statement of the witnesses under section 202 Cr.P.C., thereafer, the learned Magistrate concerned passed the impugned order dated 21.1.2011 by which he has considered the complaint and the statement recorded under section 200 Cr.P.C. but no reference has been given to the statement recorded under section 202 Cr.P.C. The learned Magistrate concerned has not disclosed whether the statement of the witnesses recorded under section 202 Cr.P.C. support the prosecution story or not. The learned Magistrate concerned has

not adopted the proper procedure in taking the cognizance by passing the order dated 21.2.2011, the same may be set aside.

In reply to the above contention, it is submitted by the learned A.G.A. and learned counsel appearing on behalf of O.P.No.2 that such pleas may be taken by the applicants before the court concerned by way of moving application under section 245(2) Cr.P.C.

Considering the facts, circumstances of the case and submission made by the learned counsel for the applicants and the learned A.G.A. it is directed that in case the applicants move an application under section 245(2) Cr.P.C, before the court concerned, through their counsel within 30 days from today, the same shall be heard and disposed of expeditiously, in accordance with the provisions of law.

Till the disposal of that application, no coercive steps shall be taken against the applicants.

With the above direction, this application is finally disposed of.