

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 28/10/2025

Dr. Garu Hari Yadupati Singhania Vs CWT

WT Ref. No. 153 of 1987 11 December 2002

Court: Allahabad High Court

Date of Decision: Dec. 11, 2002

Citation: (2003) 131 TAXMAN 247

Hon'ble Judges: Yatindra Singh, J; M. Katju, J

Bench: Full Bench

Advocate: R.S. Agarwal, for the Assessee Bharatji Agrawal and A.N. Mahajan, for the

Revenue, for the Appellant;

Final Decision: Disposed Of

Judgement

Yatindra Singh J.

Heard Shri R.S. Agarwal for the assessee and Shri Bhartji Agarwal and Sri A.N. Mahajan for the department.

2. This is a reference u/s 27(1) of the Wealth Tax Act and the following question has been referred to us for our opinion:

Whether on the facts and in the circumstances of the case, the Appellate Tribunal was justified in law in upholding the view that the assessee was

not entitled to the deduction of Rs. 6,43,625 representing the notional amount of capital gains tax?

3. This question has been considered by the Supreme Court in its decision Bharat Hari Singhania and others Vs. Commissioner of Wealth Tax

(Central) and others, . A Division Bench of our own High Court in Shripati Singhai (Ind.) Vs. Commissioner of Wealth-tax, after considering the

aforesaid Supreme Court decision has held that, I while for working out the fair market value of the assets for inclusion in the assessee"s net wealth

under the Wealth Tax Act, 1957, deduction cannot be allowed of the estimated notional capital gains tax in the event of sale of those assets".

4. In view of that decision we answer the question in affirmative, i.e., in favour of the department and against the assessee.