

(2012) 01 AHC CK 0785

Allahabad High Court (Lucknow Bench)

Case No: Contempt Petition No. 2092 of 2010

Dr. Neeraj Chaubey

APPELLANT

Vs

Kripa Shankar Verma, Teacher
and another

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision: Jan. 24, 2012

Citation: (2012) 3 ACR 3194

Hon'ble Judges: Shabihul Hasnain, J

Bench: Single Bench

Advocate: N. Chaubey In Person, for the Appellant; Lalit Shukla, for the Respondent

Final Decision: Disposed Of

Judgement

@JUDGMENTTAG-ORDER

Shabihul Hasnain, J.

Heard Dr. Neeraj Chaubey the petitioner-applicant in person. Dr. Chaubey is an eminent scientist and has been appearing before this Court off and on. It hurts the conscience of the court to see the scientist of the country running from pillar to post to get his salary for his and his family's survival. A country which needs the services of such scientists for its development can ill-afford to see the brain of the country wasting its energies at the corridors of the court. There appears to be long history of litigation relating to his service conditions. This Court does not want to rake up the issues which have come to an end through the compliance report filed by the opposite party No. 1. It has been informed that the opposite party No. 1 has been a student of the petitioner-applicant. It is such a tragedy that a teacher had to file cases of contempt against his own student who occupies the chair of Director of the institute where the applicant has been teaching.

2. Without making any further observations the court finds in para No. 4 of the affidavit, that salary for the month of March, April, May, June, July, August and December, 2011 have been deposited in the account of the petitioner in Punjab

National Bank on 16.1.2012. The paragraph further says that Branch Manager, Kamla Nehru Institute of Technology, Sultanpur has informed petitioner No. 1 about the payment.

3. Dr. Neeraj Kumar has further stated that he has been harassed too much and some cost should be imposed on opposite parties for making him run to save his family from starvation and to save his house from being auctioned.

4. The court requested Dr. Neeraj Chaubey to put an end to litigation and go back to work. Litigation amongst teachers is not to be encouraged. If the intellectuals of this country cannot solve their problems and cannot control their egos, the achievements will be dwarfed. The people of this country need the scientists/teachers for the larger good of the people. Dr. Neeraj Chaubey was magnanimous enough to accept the advice of the court and let bygones be bygones.

5. The court expects the opposite party No. 1 not to do anything further which is against the orders of the court. He has lost up to Hon'ble Supreme Court. The court also advised Dr. Neeraj Chaubey to give due respect and regard to the Director.

6. The court expects both the scientists to behave in a cordial manner. Show due respect to each other and work in harmony and tandem for the betterment of the country.

7. In view of the affidavit of opposite party No. 1 the court feels that nothing remains to be decided by it. The petition is finally disposed of.

The notices are discharged.

However, if there is any complaint about the compliance of the court's order the petitioner shall be at liberty to move an application in the petition.