

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd. Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 19/11/2025

(2006) 01 AHC CK 0256

Allahabad High Court

Case No: Second Appeal No. 122 of 1981

Smt. Bundoo Devi APPELLANT

Vs

Raj Bahadur and

Others RESPONDENT

Date of Decision: Jan. 9, 2006

Citation: (2006) 4 AWC 3224

Hon'ble Judges: S.P. Mehrotra, J

Bench: Single Bench

Advocate: M.A. Qadeer, Mohd. Waris, B.D. Mandhyan and Deeba Siddiqui, for the

Appellant; Virendra Singh and Rishi Ram, for the Respondent

Final Decision: Dismissed

Judgement

@JUDGMENTTAG-ORDER

S.P. Mehrotra, J.

Civil Misc. Application No. 2800 of 1994 (dated 29.7.1994), has been filed on behalf of the defendants-respondents Nos. 1 and 2, inter alia, praying that the second appeal be dismissed as having abated.

- 2. The application is accompanied by an affidavit of Raj Bahadur (defendant-respondent No. 1), sworn on 14.7.1994.
- 3. In the said affidavit, it is, inter alia, stated that Smt. Bundoo Devi (plaintiff-appellant) expired in the year 1989, but no substitution application for bringing on record her heirs and legal representatives has as yet been filed.
- 4. The aforesaid application was filed after serving a copy thereof in the Office of Sri M. A. Qadeer, learned Counsel for the plaintiff-appellant on 15.7.1994.
- 5. No reply has been filed to the aforesaid application, nor has any substitution application been filed on behalf of the heirs and legal representatives of the said Smt. Bundoo Devi (plaintiff-appellant).

- 6. As will be evident from a perusal of the order sheet of the case, adjournments have been taken for the last several dates on behalf of the learned Counsel for the plaintiff-appellant, so as to enable the learned Counsel for the plaintiff-appellant to obtain instructions.
- 7. Today, however, Sri Mohd. Waris, holding brief for Sri M. A. Qadeer, learned Counsel for the plaintiff-appellant, states that no instructions have been received by Sri M. A. Qadeer in the matter.
- 8. From the above narration of facts, it is evident that the said Smt. Bundoo Devi (plaintiff-appellant) expired in the year 1989.
- 9. The said fact was brought on record by the defendant-respondent Nos. 1 and 2 by filing the aforesaid application in the year 1994 after serving a copy thereof in the office of the learned Counsel for the plaintiff-appellant on 15.7.1994.
- 10. However, no substitution application has been filed so far on behalf of the heirs and legal representatives of the said Smt. Bundoo Devi (plaintiff-appellant).
- 11. In view of the above, it is evident that the second appeal at the instance of the said Smt. Bundoo Devi (plaintiff-appellant) stood abated on the expiry of 90 days from the date of death of the said Smt. Bundoo Devi (plaintiff-appellant) in the year 1989.
- 12. The said Smt. Bundoo Devi was the sole plaintiff-appellant in the second appeal.
- 13. Consequently, the second appeal stands dismissed as having abated.