
Commissioner of Customs, Noida Vs Dhampur Sugar Mills

Customs Appeal Defective No. 93 of 2015

Court: Allahabad High Court

Date of Decision: March 23, 2015

Acts Referred:

Customs Act, 1962 - Section 114(i), 130#Limitation Act, 1963 - Section 5

Citation: (2015) 323 ELT 352

Hon'ble Judges: Sudhir Agarwal, J; Anil Kumar Sharma, J

Bench: Division Bench

Advocate: Ramesh Chandra Shuka, Standing Counsel, for the Appellant; Nishant Misra, Advocates for the Respondent

Judgement

1. This is an appeal at the instance of the Revenue under Section 130 of Customs Act, 1962 against the order of Tribunal dated 4-7-2014 passed

in Customs Appeal Nos. 60023 and 60024 of 2013 CU (DB). This appeal has been reported being time barred by 55 days. Sri Nishant Misra,

Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondents. He stated at bar that he does not propose to file a written objection and the Court may

examine the genuineness of explanation given by the appellant for filing the appeal beyond time and pass appropriate order. The learned counsel

for appellant submits that the delay for not filing the appeal within time has been satisfactorily explained.

2. The application under Section 5 of Limitation Act is allowed. The delay in filing the appeal is condoned. Let the appeal be registered with

regular number and the old number shall also continue to be shown in bracket for finding out details of case, whenever required by parties with

reference to either of the two numbers.

3. By means of the impugned order, the Tribunal has reduced the quantum of penalty imposed upon the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 from Rs. 1

Crore to Rs. 10 Lakhs under Section 114(i), 114AA of Customs Act, 1962 and from Rs. 10 Lakhs to Rs. 50,000/- respectively.

4. From perusal of the record, it is found that while reducing the quantum of the penalty imposed upon the respondents No. 1, the Tribunal has not

only considered the quantum of the sugar which was exported, but also the various factors including the profitability which could have been made

by utilizing the import of raw-sugar and looking to these aspects of matter, the Tribunal has taken an appropriate view of the matter to reduce the

quantum and impose the penalty of Rs. 10 Lakhs each under Sections 114(i), 114AA of Customs Act, 1962. Similarly, so far as the quantum of

penalty imposed on Sri Mukul Sharma, respondent No. 2, is concerned, it did not find favour with the Tribunal being highly excessive and the

Tribunal has rightly taken a lenient view and reduced it to Rs. 50,000/- payable by the respondent No. 2. It is also pertinent to mention here that

though in para 4.5 of the Tribunal's order the respondent No. 2 is mentioned as Mukul Sharma, but in the operative portion it has been transcribed

as Mukesh Sharma, about which the learned counsel for the parties stated at bar that correct official is Mukul Sharma, General Manager of the

respondent No. 1 company.

5. In view of the above discussion, the Court finds that the quantum of penalty imposed by the Tribunal is the pure question of fact and it does not

raise any substantial question of law warranting interference by this Court in the matter. The appeal is accordingly hereby dismissed.