

**Company:** Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

**Website:** www.courtkutchehry.com

**Printed For:** 

**Date:** 09/12/2025

# (2011) 10 JH CK 0038

## **Jharkhand High Court**

Case No: Writ Petition (C) No. 4024 of 2011

Sanjay Kumar Singh APPELLANT

۷s

Janeshwar Singh and Another

**RESPONDENT** 

Date of Decision: Oct. 10, 2011

### **Acts Referred:**

• Civil Procedure Code, 1908 (CPC) - Order 18 Rule 17, Order 7 Rule 14, Order 7 Rule 14(3)

Hon'ble Judges: Narendra Nath Tiwari, J

Bench: Single Bench

Final Decision: Dismissed

### **Judgement**

#### Narendra Nath Tiwari

- 1. In this writ petition, the Petitioner has prayed for setting aside the order dated 9th June, 2011 passed in Title Suit No. 214 of 2008, whereby learned court below has allowed the Plaintiffs' petition for filing the documents and recalling the witnesses.
- 2. The said order has been challenged mainly on the ground that the Court has allowed the said prayer of the Plaintiffs at a belated stage after the evidences of the parties was closed. It has been submitted that the documents, which were not mentioned in the plaint, cannot be accepted at the belated stage and the Court has no jurisdiction to recall the witnesses at the belated stage.
- 3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondents, on the other hand, submitted that after the evidences of the parties were closed, the Defendants filed some documents, which were accepted by the Court giving liberty to the Plaintiffs to lead evidence in rebuttal.
- 4. The Plaintiffs, thereafter, filed the said petition under Order VII Rule 14(3) and Order XVIII Rule 17 for accepting some of the documents and recalling the witnesses to prove the documents.

- 5. Learned court below, considering the said prayer and also considering other aspects has allowed the Plaintiffs' prayer.
- 6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the impugned order. I find that learned court below has recorded speaking reasons for allowing the Plaintiffs" petition. It has been specifically mentioned that the Defendants had filed mortgaged deed dated 3rd September, 1971, which was accepted, as evidence, by the Court and liberty was given to the Plaintiffs to adduce evidence in rebuttal of the said document filed by the Defendants. The documents filed by the plaintiff has been accepted under that circumstance and the witnesses have been recalled for proving the same. In my view, learned court below has not committed any error in allowing the Plaintiffs" aforesaid prayers.
- 7. Order VII Rule 14 of the CPC deals with the production of document on which the Plaintiff sues or relies. The said Rule 14 of Order VII is reproduced herein below:-
- 14. Production of document on which plaintiff sues or relies-
- (1) Where a Plaintiff sues upon a document or relies upon document in his possession or power in support of his claim, he shall enter such documents in a list, and shall produce it in Court when the plaint is presented by him and shall, at the same time deliver the document and a copy thereof, to be filed with the plaint.
- (2) Where any such document is not in the possession or power of the Plaintiff, he shall, where possible, state in whose possession or power it is.
- (3) A document which ought to be produced in Court by the Plaintiff when the plaint is presented, or to be entered in the list to be added or annexed to the plaint but is not produced or entered accordingly, shall not, without the leave of the Court, be received in evidence on his behalf at the hearing of the suit.
- (4) Nothing in this rule shall apply to document produced for the cross-examination of the Plaintiff's witnesses, or, handed over to a witness merely to refresh his memory.
- 8. On plain reading of Order VII Rue 14, it is clear that the Court can grant leave to produce any document, which was not produced when the plaint was presented or which was not entered in the list.
- 9. Order XVIII Rule 17 of the CPC provides for recalling any witness and examining any witness at any stage of the suit. Order XVIII Rule 17 runs as follows:-
- 17. Court may recall and examine witness- The Court may at any stage of a suit recall any witness who has been examined and may (subject to the law of evidence for the time being in force) put such questions to him as the Court thinks fit.
- 10. Order VII Rule 14(3) and Order XVIII Rule 17 of the CPC provide sufficient power to the Court to grant leave to produce any document at any stage and to recall any

witness at any stage of a suit.

- 11. Learned court below has exercised its power under the said provisions of law and has recorded speaking reasons for allowing the petition filed by the Plaintiffs.
- 12. I find no error of law or jurisdiction in the impugned order, warranting interference of this Court.
- 13. This writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed.