
(2014) 02 MP CK 0062

Madhya Pradesh High Court

Case No: Writ Petition No. 3264 of 2014

Ram Kishor Sharma

APPELLANT

Vs

The State of Madhya Pradesh

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision: Feb. 25, 2014

Hon'ble Judges: K.K. Trivedi, J

Bench: Single Bench

Advocate: V.D.S. Chauhan, for the Appellant; Puneet Shrotri, Learned Panel Lawyer, for the Respondent

Final Decision: Allowed

Judgement

K.K. Trivedi, J.

The petitioners claim grant of regular pay scale from the initial date of appointment. The benefit is claimed by the petitioners in the light of the order passed by the Division Bench at Indore Bench of this Court, in W.A. No. 346/2008 (Smt. Usha Ranawat Vs. State of M.P. and others), dated 18-12-2008. By the aforesaid order passed, more than 25 writ appeals claiming similar benefits were decided and it was directed that the benefit of pay fixation in the regular pay scale from the initial date of appointment shall be made to the petitioners therein. In fact the Division Bench has upheld the orders passed by the learned Single Judge in various cases and while deciding the writ appeals in para-19 the following directions were issued by the Division Bench:

19. In view of the foregoing discussion, the appeal filed by writ petitioners succeeds and is allowed whereas writ appeals filed by the State are dismissed in limine. Impugned order of Single Bench is modified to the extent that appellant (writ petitioner) in addition to all benefits awarded by the Single Judge in the impugned order would also be entitled to claim arrears of his salary from the date of his initial appointment. In other words, the appellant will be entitled to claim the benefit of his pay fixation i.e. regular pay scale from the date of his initial appointment. Let the calculation be made by respondents in the light of appellant's date of initial

appointment and accordingly, the arrears payable to appellant towards his salary be paid to him/her in each case, which are subject matter of these appeals within a period of 6 months from the date of this order.

It is seen that the point involved in this petition has already been put to rest by the Tribunal long back in *Madhukant Yadu Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh*, in O.A. No. 2745/1989, decided on 24.08.1992. Said decision was assailed by the State Government by preferring an SLP before the Apex Court, which was registered as SLP No. 6892/1993 and the same has been dismissed on 03.01.1999. Later on, the Principal Seat as well as the Benches at Gwalior and Indore have decided hundreds of petitions in the light of the said decision and, therefore, there is no reason why petitioners can be deprived of the benefit, which was extended in the case of *Madhukant Yadu* to similarly other co-employees.

2. This Court also in a Division Bench decision in the case of *State of MP and others Vs. Beni Singh Rathod*, W.P. No. 648/2002, decided on 01.05.2002 has also affirmed the aforesaid decision, which is also applicable in this petition.

3. At this juncture, I may profitably refer to the Single Bench decision of this Court in the case of *Rajendra Kumar Pande and five others Vs. State of M.P. and others*, in W.P. 8928/2003, decided on 21.04.2004, wherein the decision of *Madhukant Yadu* which was affirmed by the Supreme Court, was followed and all the objections which were raised by the respondents were not accepted.

4. In view of the above and the judgment delivered in the case as referred to herein above, this petition is allowed. The respondents are directed to extend the benefit of regular scale of pay granting the benefit of notional pay fixation since initial date of appointment of the petitioners within a period of three months from the date of communication of this order.

5. Even though this Court had been following the law laid down in the case of *Smt. Usha Ranawat (supra)*, in various cases, and directed only for notional pay fixation and had refused arrears of pay on the ground that the petitioners have approached this Court belatedly. It is seen from the records that one such order passed by this Court in Writ Petition No. 9564/2010 (*Smt. Saroj Shah Vs. State of MP*) which was assailed before a Division Bench of this Court in an intra Court appeal, the learned Division Bench vide order dated 28.07.2010, passed in W.A. No. 744/2010, after taking note of the principles laid down in the case of [Bir Bajrang Kumar Vs. State of Bihar and Others](#), has held that similar benefit could not be denied to the petitioners and has directed for extending similar benefits to the petitioners, as has been ordered in the case of *Smt. Usha Ranawat (supra)*, in the matter of payment of arrears also.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid, respondents are directed to extend to the petitioners the benefit of pay fixation as has been extended in the case of *Smt. Usha Ranawat (supra)*, with regard to payment of arrears and other benefits also.

7. However, if on examination respondents find that for any reason whatsoever, the benefit cannot be extended, they shall record such reasons and communicate it to the petitioners.
8. The petition stands allowed and disposed of with the aforesaid. Certified copy as per rules.