

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 24/08/2025

AIR India Ltd. Vs R. NAGARAJAN

Court: NATIONAL CONSUMER DISPUTES REDRESSAL COMMISSION

Date of Decision: Feb. 14, 1997

Citation: 1997 2 CPJ 163

Hon'ble Judges: E.J.Bellie , Angel Arulraj J.

Final Decision: Order modified

Judgement

1. M/s. Air India Ltd. against which an award has been passed by the District Forum, Chennai-South is the appellant. The 1st complainant Mr.

Nagarajan is the father-in-law and the 2nd complainant is the son-in-law. The case of the complainants (respondents herein) is that on 27.5.95 the

Ist complainant purchased two tickets from the opposite party for the Sector Madras-Kuwait with confirmed status for travel by the 2nd

complainant and his wife i.e. the daughter of the 1st complainant, on 29.8.95. The tickets were issued with confirmed status 23 days in advance.

The cost of the tickets was Rs. 51,030/-. On the date of travel when the 2nd complainant and his wife went to the Airport they were offloaded

with a sudden announcement that they could not be accommodated because of overbooking. According to the complainants, on account of this,

they underwent great hardship, mental pain and suffering. The 2nd complainant who was a Chief Executive had to be in his company in Kuwait the

next day and his wife who was a temporary teacher in a popular school there also should have been present there the next day; but they could not

do so. On these allegations the complaint has been filed.

2. THE opposite party contended that because of over-booking some of the passengers could not be accommodated and the 2nd complainant

and his wife are two of them. Some such passengers preferred to go by the next flight and they were accommodated but the 2nd complainant and

his wife refused to do so. However, they were sent in the flight the next day. In these circumstances, it cannot be said that there was any deficiency

in service on their part and, therefore, the complaint is liable to be dismissed.

The District Forum, on consideration of the pleadings and evidence, came to the conclusion that there was deficiency in service on the part of the

opposite party. On the quantum of compensation it held that the petitioners are entitled for a sum of Rs. 51,030/-spent by them for the tickets for

the flight in question, and for mental agony and pain they are entitled to Rs. 10,000/-. An award was passed for these amounts. It also ordered

costs of Rs. 1000/-.

Now in the appeal, it is contended that the District Forum has fallen into error in directing the opposite party to pay the flight charges of Rs.

51,030/-because the 2nd complainant and his wife had travelled on 1.9.95. This contention of the appellant/opposite party appears to be quite

reasonable because there is no loss for them and for the amount spent for flight charges they have travelled to Kuwait. The 1st complainant who

appeared before us however argued that because his son-in-law and daughter could not travel on the scheduled date i.e. 29.8.95 they could not

get the reimbursement of this amount from their employers. But there is no such plea in the complaint. No evidence also has been adduced in this

regard. Therefore this argument of the 1st complainant cannot be accepted. It, therefore, follows that the order of the District Forum directing the

opposite party to pay a sum of 51,030/-cannot be maintained. As regards a sum of Rs. 10,000/-compensation awarded for mental agony and

sufferings, we are clearly of the view that in the circumstances of the case, this is quite reasonable and it must be paid. One can easily understand

the mental agony of the concerned, passengers who went to the Airport with all preparations to fly as far as Kuwait when they were suddenly off-

loaded at the Airport. Certainly they should have suffered lot of mental agony and pain. Hence we are of the view that the said sum of Rs.

10,000/-has been correctly ordered and that should be paid by the opposite party.

3. THEREFORE, in the result, the order of the District Forum is modified into that the opposite party shall pay to the complainants a sum of Rs.

10,000/-for mental agony; as regards the order for payment of a sum of Rs. 51,030/-for refund of flight charges, it is set aside; as regards the cost

ordered by the District Forum, it will remain. The Appeal is accordingly ordered. There will be no order as to costs in the Appeal. Order modified.