

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd. Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 07/11/2025

(1971) 12 SC CK 0014

Supreme Court of India

Case No: Writ petition No. 376 of 1971

Tarak Nath

APPELLANT

Chakraborty

Vs

State of West Bengal

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision: Dec. 7, 1971

Acts Referred:

• Constitution of India, 1950 - Article 32

West Bengal (Prevention of Violent Activities) Act, 1970 - Section 3(2)

Citation: AIR 1972 SC 2388: (1972) 4 SCC 810: (1972) 4 UJ 347

Hon'ble Judges: P. Jaganmohan Reddy, J; D. G. Palekar, J

Bench: Division Bench

Advocate: o, for the Appellant; ok, for the Respondent

Final Decision: Dismissed

Judgement

P. Jaganmohan Reddy, J.

This petition under Article 32 challenges the validity of the detention under the West Bengal (Prevention of Violent Activities) Act 1970 thereinafter called the Act).

2. The District Magistrate, Malda by an order dated 26-5-71 directed the detention of the petitioner, Accordingly, he was arrested on 27-5 71 and served with the order of detention and the grounds thereof on the same day. He made a representation on 1-7-71, after the case was already placed before the Board on 26-6-71. This representation was considered and rejected by the Government on 31-7-71. 1 hereafter the Advisory Board sent a report to the Government that in its opinion there was sufficient cause for detention. The detention order and the continuance of detention was confirmed on 25-8-71 by the State Government. It will appear from the above dates that all the mandatory provisions required to be complied with both under Clause 22 4) as well as the relevant provisions of the Act have been complied with. The only question for consideration is whether the grounds on which the petitioner has been detained are

vague and irrelevant. We may examine these grounds which are as follows:

- 1. That on 16-2-71 evening you with your associates threw three bombs to explode aiming at Bishnulal Ghosh Dastidar, a clerk of Irrigation Department at his quarters at Ramnagar Irrigation Colony under English bazar P.S. Dist. Malda. The missile missed the target hitting the staircase, Verandah and door of the quarters and Shri Ghosh Dastidar was narrowly escaped.
- 2. That on 2-3-71 evening during prayer when the pupils, students and outsiders were in meditation at Ram Krishna Mission in Englishbazar town, Distt. Malda, you with your associates entered into the Asram office, destroyed photos of Sarda Ma and Swami Paramanandi and also office articles. You also threw a bomb upon the alter of prayer which exploded bat luckily caused no damage or injury.
- 3. The petitioner in his petition has stated in respect of the first ground that at the time he is alleged to have committed the act, for which he has been detained he was in the house of his private tutor Sri Birendra Kumar Chakraborty. In respect of the second ground that on 2-3-71 he had gone to the Ram Krishna Mission to threw a bomb, it is his case that from 25-2-71 to 10-3-71 he had been bed ridden with typhoid. If these facts are proved his detention cannot be justified but we are not under the Act called upon to examine the veracity or otherwise of the allegations. He could best place all the material in respect of his detention before the Advisory Board and it is for the Board to determine the sufficiency or otherwise of the justification for his detention. The Board's opinion is based on the material placed before it by the petitioner as well as by the State Govt., and the opinion expressed by them is arrived at after hearing the petitioner, if he so chooses to be heard. In any case the State of West Bengal in the affidavit by the Deputy Secretary has denied the allegations made by the petitioner and controverted the fact that he was not present on the respective dates, which are mentioned in grounds 1 and 2. The grounds themselves are relevant to the prevention of disturbance of public order, and under the definition given in Sub-section (2) of Section 3 of the Act the acts alleged against the detenu are covered by the expression prejudicial to the maintenance of public order.

In this view the petition is dismissed.