

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd. Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

**Printed For:** 

Date: 31/10/2025

## (2009) 05 SC CK 0246

## **Supreme Court of India**

Case No: Criminal Appeal No. 886 of 2009 (Arising out of SLP (Criminal) No. 905 of 2009)

Ravindranath

Harishchandra Angre

**APPELLANT** 

Vs

State of Maharashtra

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision: May 1, 2009

**Acts Referred:** 

Arms Act, 1959 â€" Section 25, 3#Penal Code, 1860 (IPC) â€" Section 323, 34, 384, 392, 504

Citation: (2009) 05 SC CK 0246

Hon'ble Judges: R. M. Lodha, J; D. K. Jain, J

Bench: Division Bench

Advocate: Arun Jaitley, Shree Prakash Sinha and Shekhar Kumar, for the Appellant; Ravindra

Keshavrao Adsure, for the Respondent

Final Decision: Dismissed

## **Judgement**

## @JUDGMENTTAG-ORDER

- 1. Leave granted.
- 2. This appeal is directed against the order dated 11th July, 2008 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay in Criminal Application No.

1535 of 2008. By the impugned order the High Court has rejected the application filed by the appellant for grant of bail in the case arising out of

F.I.R. No. I-445/2007 dated 19th October, 2007, registered under Sections 323, 392, 384, 504 and 506 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal

Code and Sections 3 and 25 of the Arms Act.

3. Having heard learned Counsel for the parties and bearing in mind the fact that the charge sheet has already been filed on 9th April, 2008 and the

appellant has been in custody since 22nd February, 2008, in our opinion, it is a fit case where the benefit of regular bail should be granted to the

appellant.

4. Accordingly, the appeal is allowed; the impugned order is set aside and it is directed that the appellant shall be admitted to bail on his furnishing

personal bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial court.

The appellant shall not, directly or indirectly influence the witnesses and shall not leave India without the previous approval of the trial court.

5. The appeal stands disposed of in the above terms.