

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd. Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 31/10/2025

(1972) 4 SCC 460 : (1972) 4 UJ 132

Supreme Court of India

Case No: Civil Appeal No"s. 2001 of 1968 and 1287 (NT) of 1971

Commissioner of

Income Tax, West APPELLANT

Bengal

Vs

Hind Construction Ltd. RESPONDENT

Date of Decision: Sept. 6, 1971

Citation: (1972) 4 SCC 460 : (1972) 4 UJ 132

Hon'ble Judges: K. S. Hegde, J; A. N. Grover, J

Bench: Division Bench

Final Decision: Dismissed

Judgement

K.S. Hegde, J-Civil Appeal No. 1287 (NT) of 1971 is by special leave and Civil Appeal No. 2001 of 1968 is by certificate. Both these appeals

arise from a reference made to the High Court of Calcutta under Section 66(2) of the Income-tax Act, 1922. The facts of the case are fully set out

in the statement of case. There is no need to restate them. The finding of the Tribunal was that there was no sale either at the time when the

assessee inflated the price of the machinery which fell to its share at the time of the division or at the time when the new partnership was created.

Same is the finding of the High Court. We agree with these findings. The machinery that fell to the share of the assessee was never sold. Therefore,

there was no question of the assessee making any profit out of them. No one can sell his goods to himself. A sale contemplates a seller and a

purchaser. If a person revalues his goods and shows a higher value for them in his books, he cannot be considered as having sold these goods and

made profits therefrom. Nor can a person by handing over his goods to a partnership of which he is a partner and that as his share of capital be

considered as having sold the goods to the partnership. It is difficult to appreciate the arguments advanced on behalf of the department that there

was a sale either at the time when the assessee showed an inflated price of the machinery that fell to its share at the division or when that machinery

was used as the capital of the new firm of which he was a partner. We see no substance in Appeal No. 1287 (NT) of 1971. This appeal is

accordingly dismissed with costs.

2. Now coining to Civil Appeal No. 2001 of 1968, we revoke the certificate granted by the High Court as the same is not supported by any

reason. Hence this appeal is not maintainable. It is dismissed but there will be no order as to costs.