

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd. Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

**Printed For:** 

Date: 31/10/2025

## (1995) 4 SCC 655 Supp Supreme Court of India

Case No: Civil Appeal No. 82 Of 1983

R.L. Pinto APPELLANT

Vs

P.A.W. Barnard and

Others RESPONDENT

**Date of Decision:** April 9, 1992 **Citation:** (1995) 4 SCC 655 Supp

Hon'ble Judges: S. Mohan, J; K. Jayachandra Reddy, J; G. N. Ray, J

Bench: Full Bench

Final Decision: Disposed Of

## Judgement

## @JUDGMENTTAG-ORDER

1. THIS appeal is directed against an order removing the arbitrator on the ground that he committed an act of legal misconduct. The only allegation

has been that the arbitrator demanded his fees and expenses exclusively from the respondent and accepted a portion of such fees without the

knowledge of the other side. Having carefully gone through the records, we have not been able to see how the same amounts to legal misconduct.

The High court has also given a finding that there are no arbitrable issues. We, however, keep this question open.

2. IT has been, however, brought to our notice that the arbitrator so appointed is no more and therefore the arbitration proceedings have to be

started afresh. It is open to the appellant to approach the Second Additional Civil Judge, Bangalore for appointing sole arbitrator or arbitrators.

The arbitrators so appointed shall decide all the issues including the issue whether there is an arbitrable issue, or not. With the above observations

the appeal is disposed of. There will be no order as to costs