

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 24/08/2025

## K. Ram Reddy Vs Station Commandant and Executive Officer, Cantonment and Others

**Court:** Andhra Pradesh High Court **Date of Decision:** Sept. 13, 2005

Citation: (2006) 1 ALD 30: (2005) 6 ALT 697

Hon'ble Judges: Bilal Nazki, Acting C.J.; R. Subhash Reddy, J

Bench: Division Bench

**Advocate:** V. Rama Krishna Reddy, for the Appellant; Deepak Bhattacharjee, for Respondent No. 1, A. Rajasekhar Reddy, Counsel for Respondent No. 2 and G.P. for Revenue for Respondent Nos. 3 and 4, for the Respondent

## **Judgement**

Bilal Nazki, A.C.J.

1. A show cause notice has been issued to the writ petitioner-appellant in terms of Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorized Occupants) Act,

1971. He challenged the said notice in the writ petition, which has been dismissed. Hence, this appeal.

2. We feel that the impugned notice is only a show cause notice, and all defences, which are available to the appellant, can be taken before the

Estate Officer. Even if the appellant is not able to satisfy the Estate Officer, an appeal is available against the order of eviction passed by the Estate

Officer.

3. In these circumstances, we do not think it is necessary for us to intervene in the matter at this stage. The Learned Counsel for the appellant

submits that the time to give a reply to show cause notice ends to day. Therefore, in the circumstances of the case, we grant two weeks time to the

appellant to file a reply to the show cause notice. For a period of two weeks from today, appellant may not be evicted.

4. Writ Appeal is, accordingly, disposed of. No costs.