

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 15/12/2025

(1940) 01 PAT CK 0018

Patna High Court

Case No: None

Sri Bhagwat Lal APPELLANT

Vs

Bachu Pandey RESPONDENT

Date of Decision: Jan. 3, 1940

Acts Referred:

• Criminal Procedure Code, 1898 (CrPC) - Section 144

Citation: AIR 1940 Patna 364

Hon'ble Judges: Varma, J

Bench: Division Bench

Judgement

@JUDGMENTTAG-ORDER

Varma, J.

This is an application against an order u/s 144, Criminal P.C., passed by the Sub-Divisional Officer of Bhabua on 3rd August 1939. The original notice u/s 144 was issued on 29th June 1939. Therefore the order u/s 144 spent it-self after sixty days from the date of the original notice; so the order complained against is no longer in force and therefore it is not necessary for me to set it aside. But the real grievance of Mr. Kedar Nath Varma is that there are certain observations in the order which amount to a declaration of possession in favour of the opposite party who happens to be the first party, the petitioner being the second party. It is well known that any expression of opinion on the question of possession in favour of one party or the other u/s 144, Criminal P.C., is not of a permanent nature. Such an order is passed in summary proceedings and cannot affect the real rights of the parties on the question of possession. With these remarks the rule is discharged.