

Babulal Mishra Vs The United Commercial Bank and Others

Court: Patna High Court

Date of Decision: Oct. 18, 2006

Citation: (2007) 1 PLJR 72

Hon'ble Judges: Barin Ghosh, J; Ajay Kr. Tripathi, J

Bench: Division Bench

Advocate: R.P. Birnaway For the State, for the Respondent

Final Decision: Dismissed

Judgement

Barin Ghosh and Ajay Kr. Tripathi, JJ.

On the last three days i.e. Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday no one appeared on behalf of the

appellant and we passed over the matter. Even today no one is appearing on behalf of the appellant. It appears that a disciplinary proceeding was

initiated, while a criminal case was also pending against the appellant. The disciplinary proceeding against the appellant was concluded, but the

criminal proceeding was pending at that time when it was decided that any amount payable to the appellant employee over and above the

subsistence allowance during the period of suspension shall be decided after the criminal proceeding is over. This decision had been challenged by

filing the writ petition. The learned Single Judge, who dealt with the matter, felt that the decision taken by the disciplinary authority is not

interferable.

2. We are also of the view that a correct stand had been taken by the disciplinary authority in the instant case inasmuch as the suspension was not

only on account of initiation or contemplated initiation of disciplinary proceeding but also on account of lodgment of the criminal case against the

appellant in course of which the appellant was arrested. In those circumstances this appeal is dismissed without any order as to costs.