

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 24/08/2025

Krishna Chandra Das Vs Mohamed Afzal

Court: Calcutta High Court

Date of Decision: June 11, 1868

Judgement

L.S. Jackson, J.

The question raised before us is whether, in a case of award by a Survey Deputy Collector, and confirmed by the

Superintendent of Survey, an appeal having been made successively to the Commissioner of Revenue and to the Board of Revenue, both of whom

declined to go into the merits of the case, the three years within which a suit may be brought to get rid of the award, is to be calculated from the

date of the Survey Officer"s award, or that of the final decision. The Judge has held that, because the Commissioner and the Board of Revenue

had summarily thrown out the appeal, the only real award was that made by the Survey Officer, and that the plaintiff was, therefore, bound to sue

within three years from the date of that award. On this point the special respondent has not addressed any arguments to the Court, and has left the

question in our hands. We think that there can be no doubt about it. This being a suit brought for the purpose of contesting the justice of an award

made by the Survey Authorities, also for the purpose of obtaining a declaration of the title of the party concerned, the period of limitation is to run

(cl. vi., sec. 1, Act XIV of 1859) for three years from the date of the final award or order in the case. There can be no doubt whatever that the

final order is that of the Board of Revenue. The law admits an appeal successively from the award of a Survey Officer to his immediate superiors,

and to the Commissioner and the Board of Revenue, and the fact that the Board summarily dismissed the appeal without entering into the merits of

the ease, does not make it the less a final order. In our opinion then, this suit, being brought within three years from the date of that order, was

within time.