

**(1920) 06 CAL CK 0006**

**Calcutta High Court**

**Case No:** None

Kailash Chandra Bhowmik

APPELLANT

Vs

Chandra Kanta Bhowmik and  
Others

RESPONDENT

---

**Date of Decision:** June 2, 1920

**Citation:** 62 Ind. Cas. 651

**Hon'ble Judges:** Teunon, J; Newbould, J

**Bench:** Division Bench

---

### **Judgement**

1. These two appeals arise out of two suits for contribution. It appears that the plaintiff and the defendants or their predecessors in-interest agreed to deliver 18 cottas of land in their joint possession to certain persons spoken of as the Pals. They failed to do so and a suit was, therefore, brought against them for damages for breach of contrast. The suit was decreed against the present plaintiff and the three defendants with costs. Next followed a suit for mesne profits in respect of the period in which the plaintiff and the three defendants remained in wrongful possession of the said 18 cottas of land. That suit also was decreed with costs. The Pals put their decrees into execution and recovered the amount due from the plaintiff. The plaintiff now seeks to recover from the three defendants three-fourths of the money he had to pay in execution of the said decree. He failed in both the Courts below and now he appeals to this Court.

2. It is contended on behalf of the defendants that the suits in question are of a Small Cause Court nature and below 500 rupees in value and no second appeal lies. On behalf of the appellant it is contended that the suits in question fall within Article 41 of the Second Schedule of the Provincial Small Cause Courts Act.

3. We are unable to accede to this contention on behalf of the appellant and must hold that, on the facts of this case, no second appeal lies. The appeals are accordingly dismissed with costs.