

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 22/12/2025

(1868) 11 CAL CK 0004 Calcutta High Court

Case No: None

Aga Mohammed Jaffer Tehrani

APPELLANT

۷s

Mirza Nazirullah

RESPONDENT

Date of Decision: Nov. 21, 1868

Judgement

Sir Barnes Peacock, Kt., C.J.

We are of opinion that the Kingdom of Ava is not the territory of a native prince or State in alliance with the British Government within the meaning of Section 177 of Act VIII of 1859, for we are not aware of any treaty of alliance between the two Governments. The case, therefore, appears to fall within section 178, and we have directed a commission to issue under that section. If the witnesses be examined upon oath or affirmation, the evidence will be admissible without consent of parties upon proof being given in the Recorder"s Court of such fact as is required by section 179² of Act VIII of 1859 to be proved, in order to render the depositions capable of being read in evidence. We have no power to compel the witnesses to attend before the commissioner for examination, or to take any oath or affirmation, or to give evidence. If the evidence be given on oath or affirmation, as required by the commission, the evidence will be admissible. The weight to be attached to it will be matter for the Recorder to decide.

When the witness is not within the jurisdiction of the Sudder Court or the Supreme Court, but within the British territories or the territories of any Native Prince or State in alliance with the British Government.

Sec. 177:--When the evidence of a witness is required, who is resident place not within the at some jurisdiction of the Sudder Court or of Her Majesty"s Supreme Court, hut within the British territories in India, or within the territories of a Native Prince or State in alliance with the British Government, the Court, if it be satisfied that the evidence of such witness is necessary, may, of its motion or on the representation of any of the parties to the suit, issue a commission for the examination of the witness; provided that, if the suit be pending in any court subordinate to the principal Civil Court of a district, such subordinate Court shall not issue the commission, but the principal Civil Court of the district may issue the commission on the application of the subordinate Court.

2

