

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd. Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 12/11/2025

## (1881) 01 CAL CK 0003

## Calcutta High Court

Case No: Motion No. 9 of 1881

Janokinath Gupta and The Empress

**APPELLANT** 

Vs

Janokinath Gupta RESPONDENT

Date of Decision: Jan. 27, 1881

## Judgement

## Mitter and Maclean, JJ.

The Petitioner, a constable, obtained a month so leave, but failed to join his post at the expiration of that time. For this omission on his part he has been committed u/s 29, Act. V of 1861, and sentenced to two months" rigorous imprisonment.

- 2. We think the conviction is bad, because his failure to resume his duty on the expiration of the leave, does not, in our. opinion constitute an offence under the aforesaid section.
- 3. The conviction is therefore set aside.