

Company: Sol Infotech Pvt. Ltd.

Website: www.courtkutchehry.com

Printed For:

Date: 24/08/2025

## Jiban Krishna Chatterjee Vs Dilip Kumar Chakrabarty

Court: Calcutta High Court

**Date of Decision:** March 18, 1970 **Citation:** (1971) 2 ILR (Cal) 491

Hon'ble Judges: P.N. Mookerjee, J; Amiya K. Mookerji, J

Bench: Division Bench

Advocate: Amarendra Mohan Mitra, Arunendra Nath Basu and Bijitendra Mohan Mitra, for the Appellant; Aditya Narain

Roy and Binode Behari Giri, for the Respondent

## **Judgement**

P.N. Mookerjee and Amiya K. Mookerji, JJ.

This is a limited Rule issued against an order of the learned Subordinate Judge refusing the

Petitioner"s application for reconsideration of an ex parte order, passed against him, sanctioning the lodging of complaint for the prosecution of his

officer and another by the inventory Commissioner.

2. The reason given by the learned Judge for refusing the Petitioner"s application appears to be that the granting of permission (sanction) by the

Court was redundant, as the Commissioner was entitled to lodge the complaint even without such permission.

3. In our view, this is not a proper way of looking at the matter. The Commissioner is an officer of the Court and, for lodging a complaint for\*

prosecution of somebody in the discharge of his duty as Commissioner, it is necessary that he should take the permission or sanction of the Court

concerned. In this view, we are unable to uphold the impugned order of the learned Subordinate Judge rejecting the Petitioner"s present

application before him. The application, however, has not been considered by the learned Subordinate Judge on the merits and it is incumbent on

him to consider the same on the merits in accordance with law after giving the parties proper opportunities of placing before him their respective

cases on the point.

4. We would, accordingly, make this Rule absolute, set aside the impugned order of the learned Subordinate Judge, as indicated herein before,

and send the matter back to him so that the Petitioner's application for reconsideration of the learned Subordinate Judge's order, permitting or

sanctioning the lodging of complaint by the Commissioner for prosecution of the Petitioner's officer and another, be considered by him on the

merits in accordance with law.

5. There will be no order for costs in this Rule.